



Association of Bay Area Governments  
Bay Area Air Quality Management District  
Bay Conservation and Development Commission  
Metropolitan Transportation Commission

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter  
101 Eighth Street  
P.O. Box 2050  
Oakland, CA 94607-4756  
(510) 464-7900  
fax: (510) 464-7985  
info@abag.ca.gov  
www.abag.ca.gov/jointpolicy

## JOINT POLICY COMMITTEE — REGIONAL PLANNING PROGRAM

### JOINT POLICY COMMITTEE

Friday, March 21, 2014  
10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

MetroCenter, 101 8<sup>th</sup> Street, Auditorium  
Oakland, California

### MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order**
- 2. Approval of the Joint Policy Committee Minutes of November 15, 2013**  
Action  
*Attachment: Draft November 15, 2013 Minutes*
- 3. Report of the Executive Committee (John Gioia)**  
Information
- 4. Report on JPC Climate Program**  
Information
  - A. Report on Key Findings from County Meetings - the Bay Area Climate and Energy Resilience Project (Bruce Riordan and Aleka Seville, JPC)
  - B. Climate Readiness Institute (CRI) Launch
- 5. Senate Bill 792**
  - A. Overview of JPC proposed amendments to Senate Bill 792 (Allison Brooks, JPC)
  - B. Overview of ABAG proposed amendments to Senate Bill 792 (Ezra Rapport, ABAG)  
Discussion  
*Attachments: Brooks memo dated March 18, 2014; SB 792 with JPC Executive Committee Changes; Rapport memo dated March 13, 2014*
- 6. Presentation on California Cap-and-Trade Program (Richard Corey, Executive Officer, California Air Resources Board)**  
Information
- 7. Committee Comment**  
Information

**8. Public Comment**Information**9. Adjournment**

Next Joint Policy Committee Meeting:  
May 16, 2014

The Joint Policy Committee may take action on any item listed in the agenda.

This meeting is scheduled to end promptly at 12:00 p.m. Agenda items not considered by that time may be deferred.

The public is encouraged to comment on agenda items by completing a request-to-speak card and giving it to JPC staff or the chairperson.

Although a quorum of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission may be in attendance at this meeting, the Joint Policy Committee may take action only on those matters delegated to it. The Joint Policy Committee may not take any action as the Metropolitan Transportation Commission unless this meeting has been previously noticed as a Metropolitan Transportation Commission meeting.

**JOINT POLICY COMMITTEE**  
**Memorandum**

To: Joint Policy Committee

From: Director, Joint Policy Committee (JPC)

Date: March 14, 2014

Re: Agenda Item #5, JPC Recommended Amendments to SB792

---

Senate Bill 792 was introduced by Senator Mark DeSaulnier on February 22, 2013 and currently includes Senators Loni Hancock, Jerry Hill and Mark Leno as co-authors.

The bill is focused on amending the roles of the Bay Area Joint Policy Committee (JPC) and its four member agencies — the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) and the Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) — in the development and implementation of the Bay Area's Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy, as required through Section 65080 of the Government Code (commonly known as SB 375).

On February 26, 2014, the JPC agencies executive directors and I met with Senator DeSaulnier's staff to discuss key points of interest in the current draft of the bill and identify areas where the JPC member agencies believe amended language is needed. Senator DeSaulnier's staff invited the JPC and its member agencies to submit recommended amendments by mid to late-March, 2014 in order to be considered as the bill moves through the Assembly. Subsequently, the JPC agencies executive directors, their deputies and I met to determine points of consensus on recommended amendments for consideration by the JPC Executive Committee and the full JPC, with the goal of recommending consensus amendments to Senator DeSaulnier after the JPC meeting on March 21, 2014. On March 13, 2014, the JPC Executive Committee met with the JPC Agency's Executive Directors to provide initial feedback in advance of the full JPC meeting on March 21, 2014.

Per the instructions provided by the JPC Executive Committee on March 13, 2014, this memo includes details on the points of consensus among the JPC member agencies on recommended amendments to SB792. Also included are key points made and issues raised at the JPC Executive Committee meeting on March 13, regarding the proposed amendments to SB792 provide by Ezra Rapport, ABAG Executive Director.

A draft of the strikeout version of the bill that includes the consolidated consensus comments of the JPC member agencies is attached. In summary, the points of consensus reached by the JPC member agencies and addressed through recommended amendments to SB792 include:

1. ***MTC and ABAG should remain the adopting agencies for the Sustainable Communities Strategy.*** The recommended language regarding the roles of the BAAQMD and BCDC in the development of the SCS can be found on page 8, and it states: “The Bay Area Air Quality Management District and the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission shall provide technical and policy analysis, recommendations, and other forms of consultation as requested by the Joint Policy Committee created pursuant to subdivision (d) of section 66536.”

Additionally, in reference to the role of the Joint Policy Committee on the issue of economic development, as outlined on page 18 in the attached draft of the bill, the JPC member agencies are recommending the language be modified to say, “The joint policy committee, in consultation with the advisory committee, shall recommend goals and performance measures related to the inclusion of economic development opportunities in the sustainable communities strategy”.

2. ***MTC, ABAG and BAAQMD are conducting a consolidation study in preparation for moving into 375 Beale Street in San Francisco that should meet the goals outlined in the draft bill regarding a plan for the consolidation of functions*** The JPC member agencies are recommending modified language starting on page 15 of the attached draft bill. This modified language states: “(a) The member agencies of the joint policy committee created pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 66536 shall complete an analysis of common functions and identify opportunities to save costs, reduce redundancies and further the goals of the member agencies. The analysis shall also include a statement as to the expected reduction in the cost of overhead and in the cost of operation and management of the member agencies.”
3. ***MTC shall conduct an inclusive community engagement process within a reasonable and manageable timeframe.*** The JPC member agencies are recommending modified language starting on page 15 of the attached draft bill regarding MTC’s role in community engagement. The timeframe and meeting requirements outlined in the current draft of the bill for community engagement would actually have the adverse effect of truncating the time the public has to review and respond at key milestones in the process to various policy and funding elements proposed for inclusion in the next RTP/SCS.

The modified language states:

“Prior to issuing the draft under subdivision (a), the Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall convene a public engagement advisory group to meet as needed before the draft is issued for public comment. The public engagement

advisory group shall include, but not be limited to, persons representing low-income communities, communities of color, seniors, persons with disabilities, business and environmental organizations. Meetings of the public engagement advisory group shall be subject to the Ralph M. Brown Act (Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 54950) of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government Code).

(2) The public engagement advisory group shall be charged with all of the following tasks:

(A) Reviewing the public participation process in connection with the development and adoption of the previous regional transportation plan and sustainable communities strategy and addressing both of the following:

(i) Strengths and weaknesses.

(ii) The degree to which the public participation plans were implemented, and the degree to which specific implementation actions contributed to a robust, inclusive, and transparent process.

(B) Providing recommendations to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission in developing a draft public participation plan that seeks to do all of the following:

(i) Provides a clear process map, timeline, and description of all key decision points.

(ii) Sets forth outreach activities designed to meaningfully inform and engage San Francisco bay area residents, including activities targeting populations traditionally underrepresented in regional planning, such as minority and low-income populations.

(iii) Sets forth the role of advisory committees in the development and approval of the regional transportation plan update and sustainable communities strategy.

(iv) Sets forth the role of other agencies and local jurisdictions in the planning process, and prescribes requirements for inclusive public engagement and transparency.

(v) Seeks to address any other priority concerns raised by the public engagement advisory group.”

4. ***The Sustainable Communities Strategy shall include performance indicators on a broader set of issues.*** This JPC Agency Executive Directors proposed new bill language starting on page 6 of the attached draft bill, which states: “(C) (i) Within the jurisdiction of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, as defined by Section 66502, a sustainable communities strategy adopted on or after January 1, 2015 shall include a brief needs assessment of economic development, environmental, social equity, and governance indicators as needed to guide the development of future plans. These indicators shall include, but not be limited to, air quality, sea level rise, climate change and other hazard readiness, including shoreline resilience and long-term recovery from major earthquakes.

This work will be completed to the extent financial resources are available to the agencies that comprise the Joint Policy Committee to perform such work. The member agencies of the Joint Policy Committee created pursuant to subdivision (d) Section 66536 shall be responsible for undertaking any work related to the sustainable communities strategy at the regional scale. Such coordination, collaboration, and partnership, shall include outreach and consultation with:

Federal, state, regional, special district, and local government stakeholders; and a wide variety of individuals and organizations from the private and nonprofit sectors with subject matter expertise in the focus areas included in the sustainable communities strategy.”

In addition to the consensus amendments outlined above, Mr. Ezra Rapport, the Executive Director of ABAG, has provided a Memo to the JPC proposing two additional amendments to SB792 for consideration. One amendment involves a water supply assessment and a second amendment is intended to clarify that the ABAG population and employment forecast should include inter-regional commuting. For the detailed language regarding these proposed amendments, please refer to Mr. Rapport’s memo.

In regards to the amendments related to the water supply assessment and the inter-regional commuting issues provided by Mr. Rapport, there were some key comments and questions raised during the JPC Executive Committee to be considered by the full JPC.

On the water supply assessment amendment:

- Will the inclusion of this issue require that the bill go to a different committee in the legislature and therefore potentially threaten the passage of the bill?
- Does the inclusion of this highly politicized issue, with many stakeholders, open us up to further litigation risk for the sustainable communities strategy (SCS), even if this informs the SCS, and is not a part of the SCS?
- Why shouldn’t the water issue apply to every SCS across the state? Why just in the Bay Area SCS?
- Isn’t a water supply assessment implicit in any land use decision? Why does it need to be called out in this legislation?

On the inter-regional commuting amendment:

- MTC and ABAG just settled a lawsuit on this exact provision in the law.
- External stakeholders have raised the point on this issue that we should freeze analysis of inter-regional commuting and population growth to current levels. This proposal would do otherwise.

Staff believes that the consensus amendments to SB 792 can help to improve the region’s next RTP/SCS development process. We seek the JPC’s guidance on the additional amendments raised by ABAG’s Executive Director. We welcome your comments and suggestions.

---

Allison Brooks

[Blank Page]

AMENDED IN SENATE JANUARY 27, 2014

AMENDED IN SENATE JANUARY 13, 2014

AMENDED IN SENATE MAY 14, 2013

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 22, 2013

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 10, 2013

**SENATE BILL**

**No. 792**

---

---

**Introduced by Senator DeSaulnier**

**(Coauthors: Senators ~~Hancock~~ and ~~Hancock~~, Hill, and Leno)**

February 22, 2013

---

---

An act to amend Section 65080 of, and to add Sections 66537.1, 66537.2, 66537.3, 66537.4, 66537.6, and 66537.7 to, the Government Code, relating to planning.

legislative counsel's digest

SB 792, as amended, DeSaulnier. Regional entities: San Francisco Bay Area.

Existing law creates the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, the Bay Area Toll Authority, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, and the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, with various powers and duties relative to all or a portion of the 9-county San Francisco Bay Area region with respect to transportation, air quality, and environmental planning, as specified. Another regional entity, the Association of Bay Area Governments, is created under existing law as a joint powers agency comprised of cities and counties with regional planning responsibilities. Existing law provides for a joint policy committee of certain member agencies in this 9-county area to collaborate on regional coordination. Existing law

requires regional transportation planning agencies, as part of the regional transportation plan in urban areas, to develop a sustainable communities strategy, coordinating transportation, land use, and air quality planning, with specified objectives.

This bill would require the member agencies of the joint policy committee to prepare a plan for consolidating certain functions that are common to the member agencies. The bill would require the plan to also include a statement relative to the expected reduction of overhead, operation, and management costs. The bill would require a member agency affected by the plan to submit a copy of the plan to its board on or before December 31, 2015, and would require the member agencies to report to the Senate Committee on Transportation and Housing on the adoption and implementation of the plan on or before December 31, 2016. The bill would also require the joint policy committee to maintain an Internet Web site containing information relevant to the committee's activities and to appoint an advisory committee on economic competitiveness with specified members from the business community and other organizations to adopt goals and policies related to the inclusion of economic development opportunities in the sustainable ~~committees~~ *communities* strategy.

~~The bill would require the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission to relocate to a specified location.~~

The bill would also establish additional requirements for a sustainable communities strategy adopted on or after January 1, 2015, within the jurisdiction of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, and would impose additional duties relating to that sustainable communities strategy on the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, and the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. Among those additional duties, the bill would require the Metropolitan Transportation Commission to convene a public engagement advisory group to assist in the development of a draft public participation plan, as specified. The bill would also require the commission to report biannually to the Legislature and the public on the progress in implementing the policies and programs of the sustainable communities strategy.

By imposing new duties on the Metropolitan Transportation Commission and other regional entities, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to these statutory provisions.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.  
State-mandated local program: yes.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

1 SECTION 1. Section 65080 of the Government Code is  
2 amended to read:

3 65080. (a) Each transportation planning agency designated  
4 under Section 29532 or 29532.1 shall prepare and adopt a regional  
5 transportation plan directed at achieving a coordinated and balanced  
6 regional transportation system, including, but not limited to, mass  
7 transportation, highway, railroad, maritime, bicycle, pedestrian,  
8 goods movement, and aviation facilities and services. The plan  
9 shall be action-oriented and pragmatic, considering both the  
10 short-term and long-term future, and shall present clear, concise  
11 policy guidance to local and state officials. The regional  
12 transportation plan shall consider factors specified in Section 134  
13 of Title 23 of the United States Code. Each transportation planning  
14 agency shall consider and incorporate, as appropriate, the  
15 transportation plans of cities, counties, districts, private  
16 organizations, and state and federal agencies.

17 (b) The regional transportation plan shall be an internally  
18 consistent document and shall include all of the following:

19 (1) A policy element that describes the transportation issues in  
20 the region, identifies and quantifies regional needs, and describes  
21 the desired short-range and long-range transportation goals, and  
22 pragmatic objective and policy statements. The objective and policy  
23 statements shall be consistent with the funding estimates of the  
24 financial element. The policy element of transportation planning  
25 agencies with populations that exceed 200,000 persons may  
26 quantify a set of indicators including, but not limited to, all of the  
27 following:

1 (A) Measures of mobility and traffic congestion, including, but  
2 not limited to, daily vehicle hours of delay per capita and vehicle  
3 miles traveled per capita.

4 (B) Measures of road and bridge maintenance and rehabilitation  
5 needs, including, but not limited to, roadway pavement and bridge  
6 conditions.

7 (C) Measures of means of travel, including, but not limited to,  
8 percentage share of all trips (work and nonwork) made by all of  
9 the following:

10 (i) Single occupant vehicle.

11 (ii) Multiple occupant vehicle or carpool.

12 (iii) Public transit including commuter rail and intercity rail.

13 (iv) Walking.

14 (v) Bicycling.

15 (D) Measures of safety and security, including, but not limited  
16 to, total injuries and fatalities assigned to each of the modes set  
17 forth in subparagraph (C).

18 (E) Measures of equity and accessibility, including, but not  
19 limited to, percentage of the population served by frequent and  
20 reliable public transit, with a breakdown by income bracket, and  
21 percentage of all jobs accessible by frequent and reliable public  
22 transit service, with a breakdown by income bracket.

23 (F) The requirements of this section may be met utilizing  
24 existing sources of information. No additional traffic counts,  
25 household surveys, or other sources of data shall be required.

26 (2) A sustainable communities strategy prepared by each  
27 metropolitan planning organization as follows:

28 (A) No later than September 30, 2010, the State Air Resources  
29 Board shall provide each affected region with greenhouse gas  
30 emission reduction targets for the automobile and light truck sector  
31 for 2020 and 2035, respectively.

32 (i) No later than January 31, 2009, the state board shall appoint  
33 a Regional Targets Advisory Committee to recommend factors to  
34 be considered and methodologies to be used for setting greenhouse  
35 gas emission reduction targets for the affected regions. The  
36 committee shall be composed of representatives of the metropolitan  
37 planning organizations, affected air districts, the League of  
38 California Cities, the California State Association of Counties,  
39 local transportation agencies, and members of the public, including  
40 homebuilders, environmental organizations, planning organizations,

1 environmental justice organizations, affordable housing  
2 organizations, and others. The advisory committee shall transmit  
3 a report with its recommendations to the state board no later than  
4 September 30, 2009. In recommending factors to be considered  
5 and methodologies to be used, the advisory committee may  
6 consider any relevant issues, including, but not limited to, data  
7 needs, modeling techniques, growth forecasts, the impacts of  
8 regional jobs-housing balance on interregional travel and  
9 greenhouse gas emissions, economic and demographic trends, the  
10 magnitude of greenhouse gas reduction benefits from a variety of  
11 land use and transportation strategies, and appropriate methods to  
12 describe regional targets and to monitor performance in attaining  
13 those targets. The state board shall consider the report prior to  
14 setting the targets.

15 (ii) Prior to setting the targets for a region, the state board shall  
16 exchange technical information with the metropolitan planning  
17 organization and the affected air district. The metropolitan planning  
18 organization may recommend a target for the region. The  
19 metropolitan planning organization shall hold at least one public  
20 workshop within the region after receipt of the report from the  
21 advisory committee. The state board shall release draft targets for  
22 each region no later than June 30, 2010.

23 (iii) In establishing these targets, the state board shall take into  
24 account greenhouse gas emission reductions that will be achieved  
25 by improved vehicle emission standards, changes in fuel  
26 composition, and other measures it has approved that will reduce  
27 greenhouse gas emissions in the affected regions, and prospective  
28 measures the state board plans to adopt to reduce greenhouse gas  
29 emissions from other greenhouse gas emission sources as that term  
30 is defined in subdivision (i) of Section 38505 of the Health and  
31 Safety Code and consistent with the regulations promulgated  
32 pursuant to the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006  
33 (Division 25.5 (commencing with Section 38500) of the Health  
34 and Safety Code).

35 (iv) The state board shall update the regional greenhouse gas  
36 emission reduction targets every eight years consistent with each  
37 metropolitan planning organization's timeframe for updating its  
38 regional transportation plan under federal law until 2050. The state  
39 board may revise the targets every four years based on changes in  
40 the factors considered under clause (iii). The state board shall

1 exchange technical information with the Department of  
2 Transportation, metropolitan planning organizations, local  
3 governments, and affected air districts and engage in a consultative  
4 process with public and private stakeholders prior to updating these  
5 targets.

6 (v) The greenhouse gas emission reduction targets may be  
7 expressed in gross tons, tons per capita, tons per household, or in  
8 any other metric deemed appropriate by the state board.

9 (B) Each metropolitan planning organization shall prepare a  
10 sustainable communities strategy, subject to the requirements of  
11 Part 450 of Title 23 of, and Part 93 of Title 40 of, the Code of  
12 Federal Regulations, including the requirement to utilize the most  
13 recent planning assumptions considering local general plans and  
14 other factors. The sustainable communities strategy shall (i)  
15 identify the general location of uses, residential densities, and  
16 building intensities within the region, (ii) identify areas within the  
17 region sufficient to house all the population of the region, including  
18 all economic segments of the population, over the course of the  
19 planning period of the regional transportation plan taking into  
20 account net migration into the region, population growth, household  
21 formation and employment growth, (iii) identify areas within the  
22 region sufficient to house an eight-year projection of the regional  
23 housing need for the region pursuant to Section 65584, (iv) identify  
24 a transportation network to service the transportation needs of the  
25 region, (v) gather and consider the best practically available  
26 scientific information regarding resource areas and farmland in  
27 the region as defined in subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section  
28 65080.01, (vi) consider the state housing goals specified in Sections  
29 65580 and 65581, (vii) set forth a forecasted development pattern  
30 for the region, which, when integrated with the transportation  
31 network, and other transportation measures and policies, will  
32 reduce the greenhouse gas emissions from automobiles and light  
33 trucks to achieve, if there is a feasible way to do so, the greenhouse  
34 gas emission reduction targets approved by the state board, and  
35 (viii) allow the regional transportation plan to comply with Section  
36 176 of the federal Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 7506).

37 (C) (i) Within the jurisdiction of the Metropolitan  
38 Transportation Commission, as defined by Section 66502, a  
39 sustainable communities strategy adopted on or after January 1,  
40 2015, shall include, a brief needs assessment of economic  
development, environmental, social equity, and governance indicators  
as needed to guide the development of future plans. These indicators  
shall include, but not be limited to, air quality, sea level rise, climate  
change and other hazard readiness, including shoreline resilience and  
long-term recovery from major earthquakes. This work will be  
completed to the extent financial resources are  
available to the agencies that comprise the Joint  
Policy Committee to perform such work.

The member agencies of the Joint Policy Committee  
created pursuant to subdivision (d) Section 66536  
shall be responsible for undertaking any work related  
to the sustainable communities strategy at the  
regional scale. Such coordination, collaboration, and

Formatted: Left

partnership, shall include outreach and consultation with:  
Federal, state, regional, special district, and local government stakeholders; and  
A wide variety of individuals and organizations from the private and nonprofit sectors with subject matter expertise in the focus areas included in the sustainable communities strategy.

~~shall also include consideration of local and regional air~~

Formatted: Strikethrough

~~1 quality, sea level rise, priority infrastructure needs, and the goals~~  
~~2 and policies related to economic development opportunities and~~  
~~3 social equity goals pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 66537.6.~~  
~~4 The sustainable communities strategy may also include~~  
~~5 consideration of sea level rise.~~ The Association of Bay Area  
 6 Governments shall be responsible for clauses (i), (ii), (iii), (v), and  
 7 (vi) of subparagraph (B). The Metropolitan Transportation  
 8 Commission shall be responsible for clauses (iv) and (viii) of  
 9 subparagraph (B), priority infrastructure needs, and the goals and  
 10 policies related to economic development opportunities and social  
 11 equity goals pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 66537.6. The  
 12 Bay Area Air Quality Management District shall be responsible  
 13 for criteria pollutants and toxic air contaminants. ~~The San Francisco~~  
~~14 Bay Conservation and Development Commission shall be~~  
~~15 responsible for sea level rise.~~ The Association of Bay Area  
 16 Governments, ~~the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, the~~  
~~17 San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission,~~  
 18 and the Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall jointly be  
 19 responsible for clause (vii) of subparagraph (B) and the adoption  
 20 of the strategy as a whole. The Bay Area Air Quality Management District and the San  
Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission shall provide technical and policy  
analysis, recommendations and other forms of consultation as requested by the Joint Policy  
Committee created pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 66536.

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

21 (ii) Within the jurisdiction of the Tahoe Regional Planning  
 22 Agency, as defined in Sections 66800 and 66801, the Tahoe  
 23 Metropolitan Planning Organization shall use the Regional Plan  
 24 for the Lake Tahoe Region as the sustainable community strategy,  
 25 provided that it complies with clauses (vii) and (viii) of  
 26 subparagraph (B).

27 (D) In the region served by the multicounty transportation  
 28 planning agency described in Section 130004 of the Public Utilities  
 29 Code, a subregional council of governments and the county  
 30 transportation commission may work together to propose the  
 31 sustainable communities strategy and an alternative planning  
 32 strategy, if one is prepared pursuant to subparagraph (I), for that  
 33 subregional area. The metropolitan planning organization may  
 34 adopt a framework for a subregional sustainable communities  
 35 strategy or a subregional alternative planning strategy to address  
 36 the intraregional land use, transportation, economic, air quality,  
 37 and climate policy relationships. The metropolitan planning  
 38 organization shall include the subregional sustainable communities  
 39 strategy for that subregion in the regional sustainable communities  
 40 strategy to the extent consistent with this section and federal law

1 and approve the subregional alternative planning strategy, if one  
2 is prepared pursuant to subparagraph (I), for that subregional area  
3 to the extent consistent with this section. The metropolitan planning  
4 organization shall develop overall guidelines, create public  
5 participation plans pursuant to subparagraph (F), ensure  
6 coordination, resolve conflicts, make sure that the overall plan  
7 complies with applicable legal requirements, and adopt the plan  
8 for the region.

9 (E) The metropolitan planning organization shall conduct at  
10 least two informational meetings in each county within the region  
11 for members of the board of supervisors and city councils on the  
12 sustainable communities strategy and alternative planning strategy,  
13 if any. The metropolitan planning organization may conduct only  
14 one informational meeting if it is attended by representatives of  
15 the county board of supervisors and city council members  
16 representing a majority of the cities representing a majority of the  
17 population in the incorporated areas of that county. Notice of the  
18 meeting or meetings shall be sent to the clerk of the board of  
19 supervisors and to each city clerk. The purpose of the meeting or  
20 meetings shall be to discuss the sustainable communities strategy  
21 and the alternative planning strategy, if any, including the key land  
22 use and planning assumptions to the members of the board of  
23 supervisors and the city council members in that county and to  
24 solicit and consider their input and recommendations.

25 (F) Each metropolitan planning organization shall adopt a public  
26 participation plan, for development of the sustainable communities  
27 strategy and an alternative planning strategy, if any, that includes  
28 all of the following:

29 (i) Outreach efforts to encourage the active participation of a  
30 broad range of stakeholder groups in the planning process,  
31 consistent with the agency's adopted Federal Public Participation  
32 Plan, including, but not limited to, affordable housing advocates,  
33 transportation advocates, neighborhood and community groups,  
34 environmental advocates, home builder representatives,  
35 broad-based business organizations, landowners, commercial  
36 property interests, and homeowner associations.

37 (ii) Consultation with congestion management agencies,  
38 transportation agencies, and transportation commissions.

39 (iii) Workshops throughout the region to provide the public with  
40 the information and tools necessary to provide a clear

1 understanding of the issues and policy choices. At least one  
2 workshop shall be held in each county in the region. For counties  
3 with a population greater than 500,000, at least three workshops  
4 shall be held. Each workshop, to the extent practicable, shall  
5 include urban simulation computer modeling to create visual  
6 representations of the sustainable communities strategy and the  
7 alternative planning strategy.

8 (iv) Preparation and circulation of a draft sustainable  
9 communities strategy and an alternative planning strategy, if one  
10 is prepared, not less than 55 days before adoption of a final regional  
11 transportation plan.

12 (v) At least three public hearings on the draft sustainable  
13 communities strategy in the regional transportation plan and  
14 alternative planning strategy, if one is prepared. If the metropolitan  
15 transportation organization consists of a single county, at least two  
16 public hearings shall be held. To the maximum extent feasible, the  
17 hearings shall be in different parts of the region to maximize the  
18 opportunity for participation by members of the public throughout  
19 the region.

20 (vi) A process for enabling members of the public to provide a  
21 single request to receive notices, information, and updates.

22 (G) In preparing a sustainable communities strategy, the  
23 metropolitan planning organization shall consider spheres of  
24 influence that have been adopted by the local agency formation  
25 commissions within its region.

26 (H) Prior to adopting a sustainable communities strategy, the  
27 metropolitan planning organization shall quantify the reduction in  
28 greenhouse gas emissions projected to be achieved by the  
29 sustainable communities strategy and set forth the difference, if  
30 any, between the amount of that reduction and the target for the  
31 region established by the state board.

32 (I) If the sustainable communities strategy, prepared in  
33 compliance with subparagraph (B) or (D), is unable to reduce  
34 greenhouse gas emissions to achieve the greenhouse gas emission  
35 reduction targets established by the state board, the metropolitan  
36 planning organization shall prepare an alternative planning strategy  
37 to the sustainable communities strategy showing how those  
38 greenhouse gas emission targets would be achieved through  
39 alternative development patterns, infrastructure, or additional  
40 transportation measures or policies. The alternative planning

1 strategy shall be a separate document from the regional  
2 transportation plan, but it may be adopted concurrently with the  
3 regional transportation plan. In preparing the alternative planning  
4 strategy, the metropolitan planning organization:

5 (i) Shall identify the principal impediments to achieving the  
6 targets within the sustainable communities strategy.

7 (ii) May include an alternative development pattern for the  
8 region pursuant to subparagraphs (B) to (G), inclusive.

9 (iii) Shall describe how the greenhouse gas emission reduction  
10 targets would be achieved by the alternative planning strategy, and  
11 why the development pattern, measures, and policies in the  
12 alternative planning strategy are the most practicable choices for  
13 achievement of the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets.

14 (iv) An alternative development pattern set forth in the  
15 alternative planning strategy shall comply with Part 450 of Title  
16 23 of, and Part 93 of Title 40 of, the Code of Federal Regulations,  
17 except to the extent that compliance will prevent achievement of  
18 the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets approved by the  
19 state board.

20 (v) For purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act  
21 (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public  
22 Resources Code), an alternative planning strategy shall not  
23 constitute a land use plan, policy, or regulation, and the  
24 inconsistency of a project with an alternative planning strategy  
25 shall not be a consideration in determining whether a project may  
26 have an environmental effect.

27 (J) (i) Prior to starting the public participation process adopted  
28 pursuant to subparagraph (F), the metropolitan planning  
29 organization shall submit a description to the state board of the  
30 technical methodology it intends to use to estimate the greenhouse  
31 gas emissions from its sustainable communities strategy and, if  
32 appropriate, its alternative planning strategy. The state board shall  
33 respond to the metropolitan planning organization in a timely  
34 manner with written comments about the technical methodology,  
35 including specifically describing any aspects of that methodology  
36 it concludes will not yield accurate estimates of greenhouse gas  
37 emissions, and suggested remedies. The metropolitan planning  
38 organization is encouraged to work with the state board until the  
39 state board concludes that the technical methodology operates  
40 accurately.

1 (ii) After adoption, a metropolitan planning organization shall  
2 submit a sustainable communities strategy or an alternative  
3 planning strategy, if one has been adopted, to the state board for  
4 review, including the quantification of the greenhouse gas emission  
5 reductions the strategy would achieve and a description of the  
6 technical methodology used to obtain that result. Review by the  
7 state board shall be limited to acceptance or rejection of the  
8 metropolitan planning organization's determination that the strategy  
9 submitted would, if implemented, achieve the greenhouse gas  
10 emission reduction targets established by the state board. The state  
11 board shall complete its review within 60 days.

12 (iii) If the state board determines that the strategy submitted  
13 would not, if implemented, achieve the greenhouse gas emission  
14 reduction targets, the metropolitan planning organization shall  
15 revise its strategy or adopt an alternative planning strategy, if not  
16 previously adopted, and submit the strategy for review pursuant  
17 to clause (ii). At a minimum, the metropolitan planning  
18 organization must obtain state board acceptance that an alternative  
19 planning strategy would, if implemented, achieve the greenhouse  
20 gas emission reduction targets established for that region by the  
21 state board.

22 (K) Neither a sustainable communities strategy nor an alternative  
23 planning strategy regulates the use of land, nor, except as provided  
24 by subparagraph (J), shall either one be subject to any state  
25 approval. Nothing in a sustainable communities strategy shall be  
26 interpreted as superseding the exercise of the land use authority  
27 of cities and counties within the region. Nothing in this section  
28 shall be interpreted to limit the state board's authority under any  
29 other provision of law. Nothing in this section shall be interpreted  
30 to authorize the abrogation of any vested right whether created by  
31 statute or by common law. Nothing in this section shall require a  
32 city's or county's land use policies and regulations, including its  
33 general plan, to be consistent with the regional transportation plan  
34 or an alternative planning strategy. Nothing in this section requires  
35 a metropolitan planning organization to approve a sustainable  
36 communities strategy that would be inconsistent with Part 450 of  
37 Title 23 of, or Part 93 of Title 40 of, the Code of Federal  
38 Regulations and any administrative guidance under those  
39 regulations. Nothing in this section relieves a public or private

1 entity or any person from compliance with any other local, state,  
2 or federal law.

3 (L) Nothing in this section requires projects programmed for  
4 funding on or before December 31, 2011, to be subject to the  
5 provisions of this paragraph if they (i) are contained in the 2007  
6 or 2009 Federal Statewide Transportation Improvement Program,  
7 (ii) are funded pursuant to Chapter 12.49 (commencing with  
8 Section 8879.20) of Division 1 of Title 2, or (iii) were specifically  
9 listed in a ballot measure prior to December 31, 2008, approving  
10 a sales tax increase for transportation projects. Nothing in this  
11 section shall require a transportation sales tax authority to change  
12 the funding allocations approved by the voters for categories of  
13 transportation projects in a sales tax measure adopted prior to  
14 December 31, 2010. For purposes of this subparagraph, a  
15 transportation sales tax authority is a district, as defined in Section  
16 7252 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, that is authorized to  
17 impose a sales tax for transportation purposes.

18 (M) A metropolitan planning organization, or a regional  
19 transportation planning agency not within a metropolitan planning  
20 organization, that is required to adopt a regional transportation  
21 plan not less than every five years, may elect to adopt the plan not  
22 less than every four years. This election shall be made by the board  
23 of directors of the metropolitan planning organization or regional  
24 transportation planning agency no later than June 1, 2009, or  
25 thereafter 54 months prior to the statutory deadline for the adoption  
26 of housing elements for the local jurisdictions within the region,  
27 after a public hearing at which comments are accepted from  
28 members of the public and representatives of cities and counties  
29 within the region covered by the metropolitan planning  
30 organization or regional transportation planning agency. Notice  
31 of the public hearing shall be given to the general public and by  
32 mail to cities and counties within the region no later than 30 days  
33 prior to the date of the public hearing. Notice of election shall be  
34 promptly given to the Department of Housing and Community  
35 Development. The metropolitan planning organization or the  
36 regional transportation planning agency shall complete its next  
37 regional transportation plan within three years of the notice of  
38 election.

39 (N) Two or more of the metropolitan planning organizations  
40 for Fresno County, Kern County, Kings County, Madera County,

1 Merced County, San Joaquin County, Stanislaus County, and  
 2 Tulare County may work together to develop and adopt  
 3 multiregional goals and policies that may address interregional  
 4 land use, transportation, economic, air quality, and climate  
 5 relationships. The participating metropolitan planning organizations  
 6 may also develop a multiregional sustainable communities strategy,  
 7 to the extent consistent with federal law, or an alternative planning  
 8 strategy for adoption by the metropolitan planning organizations.  
 9 Each participating metropolitan planning organization shall  
 10 consider any adopted multiregional goals and policies in the  
 11 development of a sustainable communities strategy and, if  
 12 applicable, an alternative planning strategy for its region.

13 (3) An action element that describes the programs and actions  
 14 necessary to implement the plan and assigns implementation  
 15 responsibilities. The action element may describe all transportation  
 16 projects proposed for development during the 20-year or greater  
 17 life of the plan. The action element shall consider congestion  
 18 management programming activities carried out within the region.

19 (4) (A) A financial element that summarizes the cost of plan  
 20 implementation constrained by a realistic projection of available  
 21 revenues. The financial element shall also contain  
 22 recommendations for allocation of funds. A county transportation  
 23 commission created pursuant to Section 130000 of the Public  
 24 Utilities Code shall be responsible for recommending projects to  
 25 be funded with regional improvement funds, if the project is  
 26 consistent with the regional transportation plan. The first five years  
 27 of the financial element shall be based on the five-year estimate  
 28 of funds developed pursuant to Section 14524. The financial  
 29 element may recommend the development of specified new sources  
 30 of revenue, consistent with the policy element and action element.

31 (B) The financial element of transportation planning agencies  
 32 with populations that exceed 200,000 persons may include a project  
 33 cost breakdown for all projects proposed for development during  
 34 the 20-year life of the plan that includes total expenditures and  
 35 related percentages of total expenditures for all of the following:

- 36 (i) State highway expansion.
- 37 (ii) State highway rehabilitation, maintenance, and operations.
- 38 (iii) Local road and street expansion.
- 39 (iv) Local road and street rehabilitation, maintenance, and  
 40 operation.



1 (v) Mass transit, commuter rail, and intercity rail expansion.  
2 (vi) Mass transit, commuter rail, and intercity rail rehabilitation,  
3 maintenance, and operations.  
4 (vii) Pedestrian and bicycle facilities.  
5 (viii) Environmental enhancements and mitigation.  
6 (ix) Research and planning.  
7 (x) Other categories.

8 (C) The metropolitan planning organization or county  
9 transportation agency, whichever entity is appropriate, shall  
10 consider financial incentives for cities and counties that have  
11 resource areas or farmland, as defined in Section 65080.01, for  
12 the purposes of, for example, transportation investments for the  
13 preservation and safety of the city street or county road system  
14 and farm-to-market and interconnectivity transportation needs.  
15 The metropolitan planning organization or county transportation  
16 agency, whichever entity is appropriate, shall also consider  
17 financial assistance for counties to address countywide service  
18 responsibilities in counties that contribute toward the greenhouse  
19 gas emission reduction targets by implementing policies for growth  
20 to occur within their cities.

21 (c) Each transportation planning agency may also include other  
22 factors of local significance as an element of the regional  
23 transportation plan, including, but not limited to, issues of mobility  
24 for specific sectors of the community, including, but not limited  
25 to, senior citizens.

26 (d) Except as otherwise provided in this subdivision, each  
27 transportation planning agency shall adopt and submit, every four  
28 years, an updated regional transportation plan to the California  
29 Transportation Commission and the Department of Transportation.  
30 A transportation planning agency located in a federally designated  
31 air quality attainment area or that does not contain an urbanized  
32 area may at its option adopt and submit a regional transportation  
33 plan every five years. When applicable, the plan shall be consistent  
34 with federal planning and programming requirements and shall  
35 conform to the regional transportation plan guidelines adopted by  
36 the California Transportation Commission. Prior to adoption of  
37 the regional transportation plan, a public hearing shall be held after  
38 the giving of notice of the hearing by publication in the affected  
39 county or counties pursuant to Section 6061.

1 SEC. 2. Section 66537.1 is added to the Government Code, to  
2 read:

3 66537.1. (a) The member agencies of the joint policy  
4 committee created pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 66536  
5 ~~shall prepare a plan for consolidating the functions that are common~~  
6 ~~to the member agencies, including, but not limited to, personnel~~  
7 ~~and human resources, budget and financial services, electronic~~  
8 ~~data and communications systems, legal services, contracting and~~  
9 ~~procurement of goods and services, public information and~~  
10 ~~outreach services, intergovernmental relations, transportation, land~~  
11 ~~use, economic, and related forecasting models, and other related~~  
12 ~~activities, as deemed appropriate and feasible, that will further the~~  
13 ~~goals of the member agencies and reduce redundancy. The plan~~

14 shall complete an analysis of common functions and identify  
opportunities to save costs, reduce redundancies and further the goals  
of the member agencies. The analysis shall also include a statement as

to the expected reduction in the  
15 cost of overhead and in the cost of operation and management of  
16 the member agencies.

17 ~~(b) On or before December 31, 2015, a member agency affected~~  
18 ~~by the plan shall submit a copy of the plan to its board.~~

19 ~~(c) On or before December 31, 2016, the member agencies shall~~  
20 ~~report to the Senate Committee on Transportation and Housing on~~  
21 ~~the adoption and implementation of the plan.~~

22 SEC. 3. Section 66537.2 is added to the Government Code, to  
23 read:

24 66537.2. (a) Prior to initiating public outreach and participation  
25 efforts for a regional transportation plan update, including the  
26 sustainable communities strategy pursuant to subparagraphs (B)  
27 and (C) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 65080, the  
28 Metropolitan Transportation Commission, in consultation with the  
29 Association of Bay Area Governments, the Bay Area Air Quality  
30 Management District, and the San Francisco Bay Conservation  
31 and Development Commission, shall issue, for public comment,  
32 a draft public participation plan to meet the public participation  
33 requirements under federal law and Section 65080.

34 (b) (1) ~~At least 180 days before~~ Prior to issuing the  
draft under

35 subdivision (a), the Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall  
36 convene a public engagement advisory group to meet ~~at least six~~  
37 ~~times~~ as needed before the draft is issued for public comment.

The public engagement advisory( group shall include, but not be  
limited to, persons representing low-income communities, communities  
of color, seniors, persons with disabilities, business and environmental  
organizations. Meetings of

38 the public engagement advisory group shall be subject to the Ralph  
39 M. Brown Act (Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 54950) of  
40 Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government Code).

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

1 ~~(2) The Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall accept~~  
 2 ~~(2) The Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall accept~~  
 3 ~~nominations for membership on the public engagement advisory~~  
 4 ~~group from community-based organizations representing~~  
 5 ~~populations that are traditionally underrepresented in~~  
 6 ~~decisionmaking, including minority and low-income populations.~~  
 7 ~~Not less than 60 percent of the members of the public engagement~~  
 8 ~~advisory group shall be affiliated with those community-based~~  
 9 ~~groups.~~

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

9 (23) The public engagement advisory group shall be charged  
 10 with all of the following tasks:

11 (A) Reviewing the public participation process in connection  
 12 with the development and adoption of the previous regional  
 13 transportation plan and sustainable communities strategy and  
 14 assessing both of the following:

- 15 (i) Strengths and weaknesses.
- 16 (ii) The degree to which the public participation plans were  
 17 implemented, and the degree to which specific implementation  
 18 actions contributed to a robust, inclusive, and transparent process.

19 ~~(B) Identifying key decision points in the process by which the~~  
 20 ~~previous regional transportation plan and sustainable communities~~  
 21 ~~strategy was developed and adopted, including all of the following:~~

Formatted: Strikethrough

- 22 ~~(i) Decision points relating to public outreach.~~
- 23 ~~(ii) Participation and process needs assessment and prioritization.~~
- 24 ~~(iii) Goals and objectives.~~
- 25 ~~(iv) Targets and performance measures.~~
- 26 ~~(v) Equity metrics and equity analysis.~~
- 27 ~~(vi) Scenario development and evaluation.~~
- 28 ~~(vii) Selection of a preferred alternative.~~
- 29 ~~(viii) Regional housing needs assessment methodology and~~  
 30 ~~allocation.~~
- 31 ~~(ix) Scoping of the environmental impact report.~~
- 32 ~~(x) Response to comments.~~
- 33 ~~(xi) Investment and planning tradeoffs.~~
- 34 ~~(xii) Relevant decision points of other public agencies, such as~~  
 35 ~~county congestion management agencies, transit operators, the~~  
 36 ~~Bay Area Partnership Board, cities, and counties.~~

37 ~~(BC) Assisting staff~~ Providing recommendations to the Metropolitan  
 38 Transportation Commission in developing a draft public participation  
 39 plan that ~~does~~ seeks to do all of the following:

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted: Strikethrough

1 (i) Provides a clear process map, timeline, and description of  
2 all key decision points, including those described in subparagraph  
3 (B).

Formatted: Strikethrough

4 (ii) Sets forth outreach activities designed to meaningfully  
5 inform and engage *San Francisco bay-Area area* residents,  
6 including activities targeting populations traditionally  
7 underrepresented in regional planning, such as minority and  
8 low-income populations.

9 (iii) Sets forth the role of advisory committees in the  
10 development and approval of the regional transportation plan  
11 update and sustainable ~~community~~ *communities* strategy.

12 (iv) Sets forth the role of other agencies and local jurisdictions  
13 in the planning process, and prescribes requirements for inclusive  
14 public engagement and transparency, ~~to which the Metropolitan  
15 Transportation Commission will hold those agencies and  
16 jurisdictions accountable.~~

Formatted: Strikethrough

17 (v) ~~Addresses~~ **Seeks to address** any other priority concerns raised by the public  
18 engagement advisory group.

Formatted: Strikethrough

19 SEC. 4. Section 66537.3 is added to the Government Code, to  
20 read:

21 66537.3. The joint policy committee shall maintain an Internet  
22 Web site containing relevant information pertaining to the joint  
23 policy committee’s activities.

24 SEC. 5. Section 66537.4 is added to the Government Code, to  
25 read:

26 66537.4. The joint policy committee shall be subject to the  
27 Ralph M. Brown Act (Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 54950)  
28 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5).

29 SEC. 6. Section 66537.6 is added to the Government Code, to  
30 read:

31 66537.6. (a) The joint policy committee shall appoint an  
32 advisory committee on economic competitiveness with members  
33 from the business community, including representatives of small  
34 businesses and the technology and manufacturing sectors,  
35 community colleges, public and private universities, labor, local  
36 governments, community organizations with an interest in  
37 expanding economic opportunity for low-income populations and  
38 communities, and other organizations involved with the private  
39 economy.

1 (b) The joint policy committee, in consultation with the advisory  
 2 committee, shall ~~adopt-recommend~~ goals and ~~performance~~  
~~measures~~policies related to the inclusion  
 3 of economic development opportunities in the sustainable  
 4 communities strategy. The goals and policies shall also promote  
 5 amenities that are special to the region and contribute to the  
 6 region’s quality of life. Social equity goals and considerations shall  
 7 be integrated throughout to ensure that low-income populations  
 8 and populations of color share fairly in the benefits and burdens  
 9 of the economic development goals and policies and their  
 10 implementation and include strategies to improve the economic  
 11 conditions and opportunities for all residents with special attention  
 12 given to opportunities available for low-income residents and  
 13 populations of color.

14 SEC. 7. Section 66537.7 is added to the Government Code, to  
 15 read:

16 66537.7. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall  
 17 report biannually to the Legislature and the public at large on  
 18 progress in implementing the policies and programs of the  
 19 sustainable communities strategy required pursuant to subparagraph  
 20 (B) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 65080 and in  
 21 preparing the subsequent sustainable communities strategy.

22 ~~SEC. 8. The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development~~  
 23 ~~Commission shall relocate to 390 Main Street in San Francisco,~~  
 24 ~~California.~~


25 ~~SEC. 9.~~  
 26 SEC. 8. If the Commission on State Mandates determines that  
 27 this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to  
 28 local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made  
 29 pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division  
 30 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

O



Date: March 13, 2014

To: Executive Committee  
Joint Policy Committee

From: Ezra Rapport   
Executive Director  
Association of Bay Area Governments

Subject: **Proposed Amendments to SB 792**

ABAG is proposing two additional amendments to SB 792, one involving a water supply assessment and a second to clarify that the ABAG population and employment forecast should include inter-regional commuting.

ABAG proposes an amendment to SB 792 requiring ABAG to conduct a water supply assessment to inform the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS). The reason for this request is:

1. water assessment has been repeatedly requested of ABAG during Plan Bay Area presentations;
2. ABAG agrees that the analysis is appropriate and necessary;
3. ABAG has expertise on staff relative to water issues and a relationship with the Department of Water Resources (DWR);
4. the water assessment will inform ABAG's statutory requirement to make long term growth projections for the region;
5. the proposed inclusion in the bill does not require the adoption of water information by any other agencies and is not a JPC task.

This is draft language subject to revision, and would appear in the bill after (b) which requires the JPC to adopt economic opportunity goals:

SEC. 6. Section 66537.6 is added to the Government Code, to read:

(c) The Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) shall undertake a water supply review based on the region's existing Urban Water Management Plans that may be used to inform the forecasted development pattern of the sustainable

## Proposed Amendments to SB 792

March 13, 2014

2

communities strategy. The review will include an assessment of the region's planned water supply and conservation programs in relation to the forecasted growth of the region, in coordination and collaboration with the water districts, federal, state, and regional agencies, special districts, and local government stakeholders, and interested nonprofit organizations with subject matter expertise. Nothing in this section shall be binding on water districts, local governments or special districts, nor shall the work undertaken by ABAG be interpreted as superseding the exercise of the land use authority of cities and counties within the region.

The second proposed amendment is incorporated into the SCS which clarifies a task required of ABAG in SB 375. This amendment would clarify that ABAG should take into account the effects of inter-regional commuting when performing the forecast of population, employment, and housing. This amendment is consistent with how ABAG performed the analysis for the current forecast in the SCS, and does not impose any specific methodology for forecasting inter-regional commuting. The reason for this amendment is to clarify that the effects of inter-regional commuting should be undertaken in the overall forecast.

The proposed language is as follows:

(C) (i) Within the jurisdiction of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, as defined by Section 66502, a sustainable communities strategy adopted on or after January 1, 2015, under (ii) of subparagraph (B) above, the sustainable communities strategy shall identify areas within the region sufficient to house all the population of the region, including all economic segments of the population, over the course of the planning period of the regional transportation plan taking into account net migration into the region, including the effects of inter-regional commuting, population growth, household formation and employment growth.

Cc: JPC Agency Directors  
Kenneth Moy  
Brad Paul  
Miriam Chion  
Judy Kelly