



**LEGISLATION**  
**2012 State Legislative Session**  
**Legislation & Governmental Organization Committee**  
**May 8, 2012**

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary
<b>*NEW BILLS</b>					<b>Bold Face/Shading in Legislation Summary indicates change/ amendments.</b>

*Bills to be reviewed are listed in numeric order with Assembly bills listed first, followed by Senate bills*

	New Bills				
<b>*SB 1149</b> DeSaulnier	<b>Bay Area Regional Commission (BARC)</b>	<b>SEN</b> <b>Housing and Transportation Committee</b>  <b>Hearing</b> <b>May 8th</b>	Watch		<b>This bill creates the Bay Area Regional Commission (BARC) as the successor to the JPC. Defines the regional entities as MTC, AQMD, BCDC, ABAG, and BATA. Authorizes BARC to employ an interim executive director who shall serve until June 30, 2015. Authorizes BARC to review and comment on draft and final plans, including the SCS, of the regional entities.</b> <b>--Establishes a 15-member governing board, the members will be elected from apportioned districts that conform to applicable state and federal law. Establishes the term of office for BARC commissioners at four years, with seven commissioners initially having a term of two years and eight commissioners having terms of four years. Requires the initial commission elections, including both primary and general, to take place in 2014. Provides that the elected commissioners shall take office the first Monday after January 1, 2015, at which time the terms of the previous commissioners expire. The commissioners shall appoint an executive director, legal counsel, and a chief financial officer by June 30, 2015.</b> <b>--Requires the commissioners to direct the executive director to prepare a draft regional reorganization plan by December 31, 2015, and to adopt the final by June 30, 2015. The plan shall emphasize reducing common overhead cost and integrating various activities, such as human resources, budget, and financial services, electronic data and communications systems, legal services, contracting and procurement of goods and services, public information and outreach services, intergovernmental relations, transportation, land use, economic and related forecasting models, and other similar activities.</b>

					<p>--Organizes the regional entities as divisions of BARC but continues the governance of each regional entity by its existing board. Requires the executive director to prepare an annual integrated budget which includes the budgets of the regional entities.</p> <p>--Beginning in 2017, requires BARC to issue a consistency report after reviewing the policies, plans, and implementing regulations of each of the regional entities to determine consistency with SB 375.</p> <p>--Requires BARC to develop and implement policies, goals, and regulations, including performance measures, governing the preparation and adoption of plans prepared by the regional entities, provided they are consistent with relevant federal and state laws.</p> <p>--Requires the regional entities to submit their functional plans to BARC for adoption. If BARC finds a functional plan inadequate, it shall submit findings underlying its decision to the regional entity, and the regional entity must redraft its plan in conformance with the findings.</p> <p>--Requires BARC to prepare a 20-year regional economic development strategy, to be updated every four years, that addresses the ability of the regional economy to adapt to changes in technology, market demand, and direction of the national and international economy. The strategy must include recognition of unique environmental, social, and cultural amenities that, in part, define the region. Requires BARC to ensure that the regional development policies are reflected in the functional plans.</p> <p>--Requires BARC to establish a uniform regional public outreach program to ensure public access to the decision-making of the regional entities.</p> <p>--Requires that funding for BARC come from existing sources that are currently available to the regional entities.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

*SB 1366 DeSaulnier	Firearms: Lost or Stolen-- Reports	SEN Appropriations  Hearing May 7 <sup>th</sup>	Support		<p>This bill would require that every person must report the theft or loss of a firearm he or she owns or possesses to a local law enforcement agency in the jurisdiction in which the theft or loss occurred within 48 hours of the time he or she knew or reasonably should have known that the firearm had been stolen or lost, and:</p> <p>--provide that, for purposes of this requirement, a "firearm" includes the frame or receiver of the weapon;</p> <p>--require that every person who has reported a firearm lost or stolen, as required above, shall notify the local law enforcement agency in the jurisdiction in which the theft or loss occurred</p>
------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	---------	--	---

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 3</span>
					<p>within 48 hours if the firearm is subsequently recovered by the person;  --provide that a violation of either of the above provisions would be, for a first violation, an infraction punishable by a fine not to exceed \$100 and a second or subsequent violation, would be a misdemeanor, punishable by imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding six months, or by a fine not exceeding \$1,000, or both;  --require that every person reporting a lost or stolen firearm shall report the make, model, and serial number of the firearm, if known by the person;  -- provide that, beginning January 1, 2013, anyone who reports to a local law enforcement agency that a firearm has been lost or stolen, knowing the report to be false, shall be guilty of a misdemeanor, punishable by imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding six months, or by a fine not exceeding \$1,000, or both;  -- require firearms dealers to conspicuously post within the licensed premises the requirement that firearms owners report lost and stolen firearms, as detailed above; and  --provide specified exceptions to the reporting requirement.</p>
SB 1156 Steinberg	Community Development and Housing Joint Powers Authority	SEN Appropriations  Hearing 5/14/12	Support  CSAC Support		<p>This bill authorizes a city and county that included the territory of a redevelopment agency to form a Community Development and Housing Joint Powers Authority (after July 1, 2012) to carry out Community Redevelopment Law, using the assets of a former redevelopment agency as well as new revenues that the bill authorizes.  <i>Specifically, the bill would authorize the authority to adopt a redevelopment plan for a project area covering specified areas and sites and to include a provision in the plan to provide for tax increment financing, provided that certain mitigation and land use plans have been adopted. The bill would retain the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of a former redevelopment agency in another fund and authorize the authority to enter into agreements to facilitate articulated career technical education pathways.</i></p>
SB 1151 Steinberg	Sustainable Economic Development and Housing Trust Fund: Long-range Asset Management Plan	SEN Appropriations  Hearing 5/14/12	Support  CSAC Support		<p>This bill permits local jurisdictions to use an alternative process to administer the assets of their former redevelopment agencies for economic development and housing purposes. To do so, the bill authorizes a Community Development and Housing Joint Powers Authority to place redevelopment assets in a Sustainable Economic Development and Housing Trust Fund and requires a long-range asset management plan to govern</p>

					<p>that trust fund. <b>Specifically, this bill:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>--Provides that the AB 26X process for disposing of redevelopment agency assets and remitting unencumbered balances funds for distribution to the taxing entities does not apply to a jurisdiction that has by August 1, 2012 formed a Community Development and Housing Joint Powers Authority (authority) pursuant to SB 1156 (Steinberg). Requires each authority to administer a Sustainable Economic Development and Housing Trust Fund to receive and hold the former redevelopment agency's unencumbered funds, assets, and properties. The trust fund may also accept revenues from any source and may include the proceeds from selling redevelopment agency assets.</li> <li>--Allows an authority to use the trust fund for public or private infrastructure needed for infill development, affordable housing, land acquisitions, clean energy, education, job training, transitional housing for former inmates transferred to a county pursuant to the 2011 realignment, loans for development activities, and environmental mitigation, including cleaning up brownfield sites.</li> <li>--Directs an authority to prepare a long-range asset management plan to govern the disposition and ongoing use of the Sustainable Economic Development and Housing Trust Fund. The plan must: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Inventory all assets, assess the value and purpose of acquisition of these assets, and determine the current value of real property assets.</li> <li>-Address the use or disposition of all of the assets in the trust.</li> <li>- Outline a strategy for maximizing the long-term social and monetary value of the real property and assets in the trust consistent with the provisions of SB 1156 and so as to create high wage and high skill jobs, plus affordable housing.</li> </ul> </li> <li>---Requires the authority to submit this long-range asset management plan to the Department of Finance (DOF) for approval by December 1, 2012. DOF may approve the plan or return it for revisions by December 31, 2012. An authority must update and resubmit its plan annually to DOF by each December 1 thereafter. DOF, as a condition of granting its approval, may require that K-14 schools and local agencies receive a minimal amount of funding from the dissolution of assets.</li> <li>---Requires any entity receiving financial support under this bill or SB 1156 to incorporate into any and all agreements a jobs plan, which shall describe how the project will create construction careers that pay prevailing wages and a program for community outreach, local hire, and job training.</li> </ul>
--	--	--	--	--	---

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 5</span>
*AB 1627 Dickinson	Energy: Vehicle Miles Traveled	ASM Business, Professions, and Consumer Protection	Oppose  LCC Oppose		<p><b>Amended 4/10/12: CEQA requires the Office of Planning and Research to prepare guidelines to assist state and local agencies in implementing the requirements of CEQA. This bill would require the office, not later than January 1, 2014, to prepare and make available a manual containing specified information designed to be used by local governments, local agencies, and project developers to evaluate and incorporate measures and strategies to reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) in new residential and commercial building projects. The bill would require the office, not later than January 1, 2014, to make recommendations to the Legislature and local policymakers of measures to improve the reduction of VMT related to residential and commercial building projects.</b></p> <p><i>This bill has raised critical concerns because it would prohibit local governments from issuing local building permits until it has been confirmed that the building satisfied standards designed to reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) by occupants of residential and nonresidential buildings. A key element in the SB 375 negotiations was to make sure that cities retained the flexibility they needed to meet the goals identified in the bill while recognizing that every city has unique local conditions, priorities and resources.</i></p>
*AB 2231 Fuentes	Sidewalks: Repairs	ASM Appropriations  Hearing May 9th	Oppose  CSAC Oppose  LCC Oppose		<p><b>This bill would shift responsibility and liability for dangerous or inoperable sidewalks from adjacent property owners to local agencies, and prohibits local agencies from imposing assessments on adjacent property owners for repairs. Imposes a state mandated local program.</b></p> <p><b>Specifically, the bill requires that when any portion of any sidewalk is out of repair or pending reconstruction and is in a condition to endanger persons or property or is in a condition to interfere with the public convenience in the use of that sidewalk, a city, county, or city and county shall repair that sidewalk, if a) that sidewalk is owned by that city, county, or city and county, or b) the repairs are required as a result of damage caused by plants or trees.</b></p> <p><b>--Imposes liability on the city, county, or city and county for any injury resulting from that entity's failure to perform the required repairs.</b></p> <p><b>--Prohibits any city, county, or city and county from imposing an assessment against the private owner of the property fronting on any portion of a sidewalk for sidewalk repairs under this section.</b></p> <p><b>Existing law:</b> <i>Requires the owners of lots or portions of lots fronting on any portion of a public street or place to maintain any sidewalk in such condition that the sidewalk will not endanger</i></p>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 6</span>
					<p><i>persons or property and maintain it in a condition that will not interfere with the public convenience in the use of those works or areas, except as to those conditions created or maintained by persons other than the owner.</i></p> <p><i>--Requires the superintendent of streets, as defined, to provide specified notice to the owner or person in possession of the property fronting on that portion of the sidewalk so out of repair or pending reconstruction, to repair the sidewalk.</i></p> <p><i>--Under existing law, if the repair is not commenced within two weeks after the notice has been provided, the superintendent of streets shall make the repair and the cost of the repair shall be imposed as a lien on the property.</i></p>
*AB 1951 Atkins	Housing Bonds	ASM Appropriations  Hearing May 9th	Support		<p><b>Would reallocate \$30 million from unutilized programs in the Affordable Housing Innovation Fund to the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP). This bill would repeal the provisions relating to the Practitioner Fund and make conforming changes. This bill would delete the provisions establishing the Construction Liability Insurance Reform Pilot Program:</b></p> <p><i>The \$100 million for the Affordable Housing Innovation fund was created in <a href="#">SB 586</a> (Dutton) in 2007. The Practitioner fund and Construction Liability Reform Pilot Program have yet to make any awards, and the \$30 million remaining in those funds would be transferred to MHP.</i></p>
*AB 2447 Skinner & Perez	California Neighborhood Revitalization Partnership Act of 2012.	ASM Appropriations	Support		<p><b>Would establish a state version of the Neighborhood Stabilization Program to be called the California Neighborhood Revitalization Fund. The fund would be capitalized by a one-time transfer of \$25 million from the California Homebuyer's Downpayment Assistance Program (CHDAP), which currently has \$87 million remaining.</b></p> <p><b>--Requires the California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA) to administer the Act in consultation with the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) to finance affordable housing for low- to moderate-income households and to revitalize neighborhoods damaged by the foreclosure crisis.</b></p> <p><i>According to bill authors, this bill is being proposed because "the state lacks a centralized entity to facilitate the interaction and negotiation between financial institutions, private investors, local governments and non-profits in the identification and acquisition of foreclosed properties for re-sell, rental, or lease-to-own structures for low-and moderate-income families. The state does not have a program in place to assist local governments who are struggling with the unique and intensive needs of repairing foreclosed properties and reintegrating them into the housing market."</i></p>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 7</span>
*AB 1672 Torres	Housing-Related Parks Program	ASM Appropriations  Hearing May 9 <sup>th</sup>	Support		<p>Would change the threshold for accessing funds from the Housing-Related Parks Program from housing starts (which currently need to be proven by foundation inspections and occupancy certifications) to the issuing of building permits. The program has about \$160-170 million remaining out of an initial \$200 million. The author hopes that simplifying the application process will make it easier for jurisdictions to access the funds, which are intended to incentivize the production of affordable homes by awarding additional parks funding for doing so.</p> <p><i>Existing law establishes the Housing-Related Parks Program, administered by the Department of Housing and Community Development, which provides grants for the creation, development, or rehabilitation of park and recreation facilities to cities, counties, and cities and counties that meet certain criteria for housing starts, as defined, for newly constructed units that are affordable to very low or low-income households.</i></p>
SB 986 Dutton	Redevelopment: Bond Proceeds	SEN Appropriations Hearing 5/7/12	Support  LCC Support CRA Support		<p>Allows successor agencies to keep former redevelopment agencies' bond proceeds and enter into new enforceable obligations funded by bond proceeds. Prohibits unspent proceeds derived tax exempt bonds from being redistributed and provides successor agencies with alternative ways to use funds.</p>
AB 1555 Norby	Redevelopment: Debt Forgiveness Agreements	ASM Housing and Community Development Com.	Watch		<p>Prohibits the oversight board responsible for the wind-down of a redevelopment agency (RDA) to require the successor agency to forgive a loan, advance, or indebtedness that is owed to the dissolved RDA by a private entity. (amended 5/2/12)</p>
SB 1335 Pavley	Redevelopment: Brownfield Sites	SEN Appropriations  Hearing 5/14/12	Watch		<p>This bill would authorize a successor agency to retain <i>property obtained</i> by the former redevelopment agency, for specified remediation or removal purposes of the release of hazardous substances, as defined, at a brownfield site using available financing, funds, and grants, subject to approval of the oversight board pursuant to specified procedures. Upon completion of remediation, the bill would require the successor agency to dispose of the <i>property</i> pursuant to existing asset disposition provisions. The bill would make conforming changes.</p>

	<b>BILLS PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED</b>				
<b>AB 57 Beall</b>	<b>Metropolitan Transportation Commission</b>	<b>SEN Transportation and Housing</b>	Support	<b>Continue Support as written</b>  <b>Had Support Position in 2011 Legislative cycle</b>	This bill would, instead, require the Metropolitan Transportation Commission to consist of 21 members, including one member appointed by the Mayor of the City of Oakland and one member appointed by the Mayor of the City of San Jose. The bill would require the initial term of those 2 members to end in February 2015. The bill would, effective with the commission term commencing February 2015, prohibit more than 3 members of the commission from being residents of the same county, as specified. By imposing new requirements on a local agency, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.
<b>AB 441 Monning</b>	<b>State Planning</b>	<b>SEN Transportation and Housing</b>	Watch	<b>Oppose</b>	<b>Requires the California Transportation Commission (CTC) to include voluntary health issues in guidelines promulgated by CTC for the preparation of regional transportation Plans. Requires CTC to include at the next revision voluntary health and health equity factors, strategies, goals, and objectives in the regional transportation plan (RTP) guidelines.</b>
<b>AB 484 Alejo</b>	<b>Enterprise Zones: Expiration of Designation</b>	<b>SEN Transportation and Housing Com.</b>	support	<b>Support</b>	<b>Amended: Clarifies that funds set aside for the long-term management of mitigation lands conveyed to a nonprofit organization may also be conveyed to the nonprofit, and authorizes the nonprofit to hold, manage, invest, and disburse the funds for management and stewardship of the land or easement for which the funds were set aside.</b>  <i>This bill would authorize the jurisdiction of an expiring enterprise zone to send a letter to the department expressing the intent of the jurisdiction to reapply for a new enterprise zone designation prior to the expiration of the designation of the enterprise zone. The bill would provide that if that letter is sent and, if prior to the expiration of the designation of the enterprise zone, the department has not issued a request for proposal and has not conditionally designated the maximum number of enterprise zones within the state, then businesses within the geographic boundaries of the existing enterprise zone may continue to be eligible to receive all enterprise zone benefits until the department completes any regulatory or administrative review, issues a request for proposal, and issues conditional designation letters to the maximum number of enterprise zones within the state.</i>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 9</span>
AB 710 Skinner	Local Planning: infill and transit-oriented development	Currently being reintroduced under a different 2012 bill number		Was considered in 2011 Leg Session—took an “oppose” position	<p><b>2011 bill language:</b>  <i>This bill establishes parking standards for new transit-oriented development. This bill would enact the "Infill Development and Sustainable Community Act," and contains legislative declarations in support of its provisions. For new development projects in transit intensive areas, this bill prohibits cities and counties from requiring a minimum parking standard greater than: One space per 1,000 square feet of nonresidential improvements, and One space per residential unit. This bill defines a "high-quality transit corridor" as a corridor with fixed route bus service with service intervals less than 15 minutes during peak commute hours.</i></p>
AB 1532 John A. Perez	California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: Greenhouse Gas Reduction Account	ASM Appropriations Com.	Watch	<b>Support With suggested amendment</b>	<p><b>Amended: 4/30 and 5/1: Establishes procedures for deposit and expenditure of regulatory fee revenues derived from the auction of GHG allowances pursuant to the cap and trade program adopted by ARB pursuant to AB 32.</b></p> <p>This bill would create the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Account within the Air Pollution Control Fund. The bill would require moneys, as specified, collected pursuant to a market-based compliance mechanism be deposited in this account. The bill also would require those moneys, upon appropriation by the Legislature, be used for purposes of carrying out the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006. The bill would require the state board to award those moneys to measures and programs. This means measures and programs that reduce greenhouse gas emissions consistent with this division to achieve any of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Clean and efficient energy, through energy efficiency, clean and renewable distributed energy generation, and related activities.</li> <li>(2) Low-carbon transportation, through the development of state-of-the-art systems to move goods and freight, deploy advanced technology vehicles and vehicle infrastructure, produce and use advanced biofuels, and increase the availability of low-carbon and public transportation.</li> <li>(3) Natural resource protection, through measures associated with water use and supply, land and natural resource conservation and management, and sustainable agriculture.</li> <li>(4) <i>Sustainable infrastructure development, through strategic planning and development of major infrastructure, including transportation and housing.</i></li> </ol>
AB 1585 Perez, Atkins, Dickinson,	Redevelopment	SEN Governance and Finance Com.	Support	<b>Support</b>	<p><b>Makes changes to the process of dissolving redevelopment agencies (RDAs), including requiring the funds on deposit in the Low-and Moderate-Income Housing Fund (L&amp;M Fund) of the former RDA to remain with the entity that assumes the</b></p>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 10</span>
Hill, Mitchell, Perea, and Torres					<p><b>housing functions rather than being distributed as property tax revenue.</b></p> <p>This bill would modify the scope of the term “enforceable obligation” and modify provisions relating to the transfer of housing funds and responsibilities associated with dissolved redevelopment agencies. The bill would provide that any amounts on deposit in the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of a dissolved redevelopment agency be transferred to specified entities. The bill would make conforming changes.</p> <p>Existing law provides that, upon a specified date, agreements, contracts, or arrangements between the city or county, or city and county that created the redevelopment agency and the redevelopment agency are invalid. Notwithstanding this provision, an agreement that provided loans or other startup funds for the agency that was entered into within 2 years of the formation of the agency is valid and binds the successor agency. The bill would expand this exception to include an agreement involving a loan specific to a project area and other specified obligations.</p> <p>--The bill would provide that other loan agreements entered into between the redevelopment agency and the city, county, or city and county that created it are deemed to be enforceable obligations, except as specified.</p> <p>--The bill would further expand upon, and clarify, the scope of the successor agency’s and the oversight board’s responsibilities. This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.</p>
AB 1656 Fong	San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority	ASM Appropriations Suspense File	Support	Support	<p><b>This bill extends, from January 1, 2029, to January 1, 2036, the sunset on the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority; expands the jurisdiction of the authority's East Bay board member to include all of Contra Costa County; and expands the eastern boundary within with projects are eligible for grants and awards from the authority. Sponsored by the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority.</b></p> <p><i>Technical corrections to the enabling legislation (AB 2954-San Francisco Restoration Authority act) to extend the sunset date and adding back the northeastern portion of Contra Costa County shoreline to allow funding raised to be spent on projects in that area.</i></p>
SB 654 Steinberg	Redevelopment	ASM Housing and Community Development	Watch	Watch	<p><b>Amended 1/31/12. This bill would allow the host city or county of a dissolving redevelopment agency to retain the funds on deposit in the agency’s housing fund and expands the types of</b></p>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 11</span>
					<p><b>agency loans from the host city or county that are considered enforceable obligations. Senate deleted urgency clause.</b></p> <p>Would revise the definition of the term “enforceable obligation” and modify provisions relating to the transfer of housing funds and responsibilities associated with dissolved redevelopment agencies. The bill would provide that any amounts on deposit in the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund of a dissolved redevelopment agency be transferred to specified entities, such as city, county, or city and county. Bill would make conforming changes</p>
SB 659 Padilla	Community Redevelopment	ASM Rules Com		Support	Would postpone the current February 1 <sup>st</sup> deadline for dissolution of Redevelopment Agencies to April 15, 2012.
SB 878 DeSaulnier	Regional Planning: Bay Area	ASM Committees- Local Government and Natural Resources	Watch	Watch	<p>Would require the Joint Policy Committee – a subcommittee of representatives from the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, the Association of Bay Area Governments, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District and the Bay Conservation &amp; Development Commission — as well as its individual member agencies — to prepare a number of new reports related to the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS), including recommendations on organizational reform (such as the creation of a new agency by statute or through a joint exercise of powers agreement or another institutional arrangement), regional economic development, and public and community outreach.</p> <p>Specifically Report on strategies for</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Developing and implementing a multiagency set of policies and guidelines for implementing the Bay Area's sustainable communities' strategies.</li> <li>b. Improving the efficiency and effectiveness of policy setting and managerial coordination among the regional agencies that constitute the JPC.</li> <li>c. Ensuring that the public in the nine county region has an opportunity to comment on the proposed policies and standards that the JPC will promulgate for implementing the sustainable communities strategies.</li> <li>d. Recommend organizational reform to implement the proposed methods and strategies, including creating a regional organization by legislation, a joint powers agreement or some other institutional arrangement specifying the terms of interagency collaboration.</li> </ol>
SB 1220 Steinberg and DeSaulnier	Housing Opportunity and Market Stabilization (HOMeS) Trust Fund Act of 2012	SEN Appropriations  Hearing 5/9/12	Watch	Watch	<p><b>Amended 4/16/12:</b> This bill imposes a fee of \$75 on the recording of each real-estate related document, <u>except for those documents recorded in connection with a transfer subject to a documentary transfer tax</u>, and directs the money to <i>the Housing Opportunity and Market Stabilization (HOMeS) Trust Fund</i>.</p>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 12</span>
					<p>(new title) The Legislature may then appropriate these funds for the development, acquisition, rehabilitation, and preservation of homes affordable to low- and moderate-income households, including emergency shelters, transitional and permanent rental housing, foreclosure mitigation, and homeownership opportunities. <b>Previously:</b> <i>This bill would enact the Housing Opportunity Trust Fund Act of 2012. The bill would make several legislative findings and declarations relating to the need for establishing permanent, ongoing sources of funding dedicated to affordable housing development. The bill would impose a fee of \$75 to be paid at the time of the recording of every real estate instrument, paper, or notice required or permitted by law to be recorded. By imposing new duties on counties with respect to the imposition of the recording fee, the bill would create a state-mandated local program.</i></p> <p>--The bill would require revenues from this fee be sent quarterly to the Department of Housing and Community Development for deposit in the Housing Opportunity Trust Fund, which the bill would create within the State Treasury. The bill would provide that moneys in the fund may be expended for the purpose of supporting affordable housing, as specified. The bill would impose certain auditing and reporting requirements.</p> <p>--This bill would result in a change in state taxes for the purpose of increasing state revenues within the meaning of Section 3 of Article XIII A of the California Constitution, and thus would require for passage the approval of 2/3 of the membership of each house of the Legislature.</p>
<b>SB 1545</b> <b>DeSaulnier</b> <b>–CoAuthor</b> <b>Hancock</b>	<b>Bay Area Toll Bridges</b>	<b>SEN</b> <b>Second</b> <b>Reading</b>	Watch	<b>Watch</b>	This bill would prohibit public money from being used on the development or improvement of an office building at 390 Main Street, San Francisco, until after the State Auditor has completed a specified audit relating to the move of the headquarters of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. Upon completion of the audit, the bill would require the issues raised in the audit to be addressed and a report in that regard to be submitted to the Legislature prior to future expenditure of public money on the headquarters project. These provisions would apply to the Bay Area Toll Authority, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, and the Bay Area Headquarters Authority. The bill would thereby impose a state-mandated local program.
	<b>FEDERAL LEGISLATION</b>				
<b>S.97</b> <b>Feinstein</b>	<b>San Francisco Bay Restoration Act</b>	<b>SEN</b> <b>Legislative</b> <b>Calendar</b> <b>under</b>	Support	<b>Support</b>	<b>To amend the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to establish a grant program to support the restoration of San Francisco Bay.</b>

<b>Bill Author</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Staff Recommendation</b>	<b>L&amp;GO Position</b>	<b>Legislation Summary</b>	Page 13
--------------------	----------------	---------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------	---------

		<b>General Orders</b>				
<b>H.R. 3034 Speier</b>	<b>San Francisco Bay Restoration Act of 2011</b>	Referred to the Subcommittee on Water Resources and Environment	Support	<b>Support</b>	To amend the Federal Water Pollution Control Act to establish a San Francisco Bay restoration grant program.	