



**ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS**  
 Representing City and County Governments of the San Francisco Bay Area

**LEGISLATION**  
**2011 State Legislative Session**  
**Legislation & Governmental Organization Committee**  
**September 15, 2011**

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary
-------------	---------	--------	----------------------	---------------	---------------------

<b>*NEW BILLS</b>					<b>Bold Face/Shading in Legislation Summary indicates change/ amendments.</b>
-------------------	--	--	--	--	---

*Bills to be reviewed are listed in numeric order with Assembly bills listed first, followed by Senate bills*

	New Bills				
<b>*SB 226</b> Simitian	<b>Environmental Quality</b>	<b>Enrolled 9/9</b>		<b>Support</b>	<b>Establishes exemptions limits to environmental review under California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for specified projects: such as installation of a solar energy system, establishes abbreviated CEQA review for specified “infill projects”, solar thermal powerplant. Specifically this bill streamlines the CEQA process for adopting or amending a general plan by allowing the general plan interagency referral process under Planning and Zoning Law to occur during CEQA scoping process.</b>
<b>*SB 791</b> Simitian	<b>Health Care: Mammograms</b>  <b>(Was Steinberg: Regional Congestion Reduction Charge)</b>	<b>Amended to Health Care Bill, Enrolled 9/10/11</b>  <b>ASM</b> Ordered to Third reading; Re-referred to Com. On Rules pursuant to ASM Rule 77.2		<b>No position</b>	<b>Amended 9/9: Requires health facilities at which mammography examinations are performed to provide a specified notice to patients who have dense breast tissue.</b>  Amended 8/25: This bill would authorize a metropolitan planning organization, subject to majority voter approval, to impose, for up to 30 years, a regional transportation congestion reduction charge on purchasers of motor vehicle fuel in all or part of its jurisdiction, which would be collected by the fuel retailer or wholesaler and transmitted to the State Board of Equalization. The bill would define motor vehicle fuel for these purposes to include gasoline and diesel.  A corresponding vehicle registration charge would be imposed on electric vehicles licensed to be driven on public roads, which would be collected by the Department of Motor Vehicles. Prior to adopting a regional congestion reduction charge, the metropolitan planning organization would be required to make certain

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 2</span>
					<p>determinations, including that the transportation demand reduction projects funded by the charge would directly and specifically benefit motorists within the region by reducing vehicle congestion so as to increase overall mobility for motorists who are paying the charge.</p> <p>The bill would provide for revenues from the regional transportation congestion reduction charge to be transferred by the State Board of Equalization or the Department of Motor Vehicles, as applicable, to the appropriate metropolitan planning organization. The bill would authorize use of the revenues for certain transportation projects and programs that have been identified in the regional transportation plan, as specified.</p> <p><i>The bill would require the board of supervisors in a county in the jurisdiction of the metropolitan planning organization where the charge is to be imposed, upon request of the organization, to submit the proposed charge to the voters, and would require the organization to reimburse the associated election costs. In certain counties, the charge would be imposed by a county transportation commission rather than the metropolitan planning organization.</i></p>
	<b>Bills Previously Considered</b>				
<b>AB 57 Beall</b>	<b>Metropolitan Transportation Commission</b>	<b>SEN Transportation and Housing</b>  <b>Last action: hearing cancelled at request of author 6/15</b>	Watch  LCC Watch	<b>Support</b>	This bill would, instead, require the Metropolitan Transportation Commission to consist of 21 members, including one member appointed by the Mayor of the City of Oakland and one member appointed by the Mayor of the City of San Jose. The bill would require the initial term of those 2 members to end in February 2015. The bill would, effective with the commission term commencing February 2015, prohibit more than 3 members of the commission from being residents of the same county, as specified. By imposing new requirements on a local agency, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.
<b>AB 144 Portantino &amp; Ammiano</b>	<b>Firearms: Open Carrying of Unloaded Handguns</b>	<b>Enrolled 9/9</b>  <b>SEN Sent to Inactive File by Senator DeLeon 8/30</b>	Support	<b>Support</b>	<b>This bill (1) makes it a misdemeanor punishable by up to six months in jail and a \$1,000 fine to openly carry an unloaded handgun on ones person or in a vehicle; (2) makes it a misdemeanor punishable by up to one year in county jail and a \$1,000 fine to openly carry an unlawfully possessed unloaded handgun and ammunition in public in an incorporated city; (3) establishes specified exceptions to this prohibition; (4) makes it a misdemeanor, punishable by up to six months in jail and a fine of up to \$1,000 for the driver of a vehicle to knowingly allow a person to bring an openly carried, unloaded handgun into the vehicle; and (5) makes conforming and nonsubstantive</b>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 3</span>
					technical changes to affected statutes.
AB 184 Swanson	Contractual Assessment Programs: Seismic Safety Improvements	Approved by Governor 6/18  Chapters by Secretary of State - Chapter 28, Statutes of 2011. 6/20	Support  LCC Watch CSAC Pending	Support	This bill would enact the Seismic Safety Finance Act, which would expand these provisions to also authorize contractual assessments to finance the installation of seismic strengthening improvements that are permanently fixed to real property, as specified. The bill would define "public agency," for purposes of financing the installation of seismic strengthening improvements, to mean a city, county, or city and county.
AB 255 Wieckowski	Hazardous Waste: Latex Paint—Collection Facility	Chapters 9/6 Chapter 213, Statutes of 2011  Enrolled and sent to Governor 8/24/11	Support  Product Stewardship Council	Support	Sponsored by StopWaste.Org.: would allow businesses that are "conditionally exempt small quantity generators" to bring in higher volumes of recyclable latex paint than are currently allowed to HHW facilities, so they can avoid making several trips to recycle paint lawfully. Specifically: Allows a household hazardous waste facility authorized to accept hazardous waste from a conditionally exempt small quantity generator to accept recyclable latex paint from any generator. -- Allows a household hazardous facility to accept more than 100 kilogram per month of recyclable latex paint. -- Requires the household hazardous waste facility to track and report the amount of recyclable latex paint accepted and sent for recycling. <i>Intent is to make it easier and less expensive for businesses to manage their waste latex paint because: "Surplus, unused, and leftover latex paint is a major component of hazardous waste in California from both household and business sources. Recent waste characterization studies suggest that up to half of surplus and leftover paint in California is illegally discarded in landfills, much of it by businesses."</i>
AB 343 Atkins	Redevelopment Plans: Environmental Goals	SEN Governance and Finance Last Action: 6/23	Support  MTC Support	Support	Requires every redevelopment plan be consistent with the regional sustainable communities strategy or alternative planning strategy adopted by the metropolitan planning organization or council of government.
AB 392 Alejo	Ralph M. Brown Act: Posting Agendas	ASM Appropriations Com. Held under submission	Oppose  CSAC Oppose  LCC—Notice	Oppose	Amended 4/14: This bill would require the legislative body of a local agency to post the agenda and specified staff generated reports that relate to items on the agenda on its Internet Web site, if any, as specified.

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 4</span>
		Last Action: 5/27	of Concern		<p>---The bill would prohibit the legislative body from acting on or discussing an item on the agenda for which a related staff generated report was not properly disclosed at least 72 hours prior to the meeting, except as provided.</p> <p>-The bill would require the legislative body of the local agency, if it does not have an Internet Web site, to disclose on the posted agenda a public location where the agency would make an applicable staff generated report available for copying and inspection by a member of the public for at least 72 hours prior to the meeting.</p> <p>--By expanding the duties of local agencies, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.</p>
AB 485 Ma	<p><b>New title: Infrastructure Financing</b></p> <p><b>(was Local Planning: Transit Village Development Districts)</b></p>	<p>Sent to Inactive File by SEN Wolk 9/7</p> <p>SEN Third Reading Last action: 7/11</p>	<p>Watch</p> <p>LCC Watch</p>	<b>Support</b>	<p>Existing law authorizes the legislative body of the city or county to adopt an infrastructure financing plan, create an infrastructure financing district, and issue bonds for which only the district is liable, to finance specified public facilities, upon voter approval. This bill would eliminate the requirement of voter approval for the adoption of an infrastructure financing plan, the creation of an infrastructure financing district, and the issuance of bonds with respect to a transit village development district. The bill would require a city or county that uses infrastructure financing district bonds to finance its transit village development district to use at least 20% of the revenue from those bonds for the purposes of increasing, improving, and preserving the supply of lower and moderate-income housing; to require that those housing units remain available and occupied by moderate-, low-, very low, and extremely low income households for at least 55 years for rental units and 45 years for owner-occupied units; and to rehabilitate, develop, or construct for rental or sale to persons and families of low or moderate income an equal number of replacement dwellings to those removed or destroyed from the low- and moderate-income segment of the housing market as a result of the development of the district, as specified. The bill would set forth the findings and declarations of the Legislature, and the intent of the Legislature that the development of transit village development districts be environmentally conscious and sustainable, and that related construction meet or exceed the requirements of the California Green Building Standards Code.</p>
AB 506 Wieckowski	<b>Local Government: Bankruptcy—Mediation</b>	<p>Enrolled 9/9</p> <p>SEN Third Reading 9/1</p>	<p>Oppose</p> <p>LCC Oppose CSAC Oppose</p>	<b>Oppose</b>	<p><b>Amended 8/31, 9/2, 9/8:</b></p> <p><i>Under existing law, any taxing agency or instrumentality of the state may file a petition and prosecute to completion bankruptcy proceedings permitted under the laws of the United States.</i></p> <p><b>Amended 6/29/11</b></p>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 5</span>
					<p><b>Assembly Bill 506 prohibits a local public entity from filing a petition and exercising powers under federal bankruptcy law unless it participates in a neutral evaluation process, and meets certain conditions.</b></p> <p><b><u>Requirements for seeking bankruptcy protection</u></b> .</p> <p><b>Specifically, AB 506 prohibits a local public entity from filing for bankruptcy protection unless it participates in a neutral evaluation process, receives a good faith certification from the neutral evaluator, and:</b></p> <p><b>Reaches an out-of-court agreement with all interested parties regarding a plan of adjustment; <u>or</u> ,</b></p> <p><b>Is unable to reach an out-of-court agreement and the neutral evaluator certifies in writing that the parties have participated in the neutral evaluation process in good faith; <u>or</u> ,</b></p> <p><b>Interested parties did not participate in the neutral evaluation process.</b></p> <p><b>AB 506 prohibits a local public entity from filing a petition and exercising powers under federal bankruptcy law if the neutral evaluator determines that the local entity failed to participate in the neutral evaluation process in good faith. Failure to participate in good faith includes the failure to provide accurate and essential financial information, the failure to attempt to reach settlement with all interested parties to avert bankruptcy, or evidence of manipulation to delay and obstruct a timely agreement.</b></p> <p><i>Formerly:</i> This bill would provide that a local public entity shall not file under federal bankruptcy law unless the local public entity has participated in mediation with interested parties, as defined, has received a certificate of good faith participation, and if the mediation results in either an agreement for debt readjustment, or if the mediator certifies in writing that continued mediation will not contribute to a resolution of the parties' dispute, under certain circumstances. The bill would also require the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission to adopt mediation guidelines, as specified.</p>
<p><b>AB 710 (Skinner)</b></p>	<p><b>Local planning: Infill and Transit-oriented Development</b></p>	<p><b>SEN Third Reading</b></p> <p><b>Read; refused passage;</b></p> <p><b>Reconsideration granted</b></p>	<p>Support</p> <p>LCC Watch</p> <p>CSAC Watch</p>	<p><b>Oppose</b></p>	<p><b>Amended 8/18:</b></p> <p><b>Amended 6/29: The Legislature further declares that the need to address infill development and excessive parking requirements is a matter of statewide concern and is not a municipal affair as that term is used in Section 5 of Article XI of the California Constitution. Therefore, this act shall apply to all cities, including charter cities.</b></p> <p><i>This bill would state the findings and declarations of the</i></p>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 6</span>
		Read 3 <sup>rd</sup> time, refused passage 18-Yes and 19 No			<p><i>Legislature with respect to parking requirements and infill and transit-oriented development, and would state the intent of the Legislature to reduce unnecessary government regulation and to reduce the cost of development by eliminating excessive minimum parking requirements for infill and transit-oriented development. This bill would also prohibit a city or county from requiring a minimum parking standard greater than one parking space per 1,000 square feet of nonresidential improvements and one parking space per unit of residential improvements for any new development project in transit intensive areas, as defined.</i></p> <p><i>(2) Existing law sets forth the duties of the Strategic Growth Council, including the duty to recommend policies and investment strategies and priorities to the Governor, the Legislature, and to appropriate agencies to encourage the development of sustainable communities, as described.</i></p> <p><i>This bill would modify the description of sustainable communities to additionally include communities that incentivize infill development.</i></p>
AB 723 Bradford	Energy: Public Goods Charge	SEN Re-referred to Com. on Energy, Utilities and Communications	Support	Support	Extends the public benefits charge until 2020, leaving in place existing allocations; and revises energy efficiency programs, reprogramming the energy efficiency program currently implemented by the CPUC.
AB 809 Feuer	Firearms: Long Gun Transfer Records	Enrolled 9/8	Support	Support	The purpose of this bill is to (1) conform requirements for reporting and record retention involving the transfer of long guns with those of handguns; (2) repeal the prohibition on peace officers, Department of Justice (DOJ) employees, and the Attorney General (AG) from retaining or compiling records of long gun transfers; (3) expand the requirement for a personal handgun importer to report certain information relative to bringing a handgun into the state, as specified; (4) expand the reporting requirements to apply to the importation of long guns; and (5) expand requirements for firearms dealers to keep a register or record of information pertaining to firearms transactions to include information pertaining to transactions involving all guns.

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 7</span>
<b>AB 880</b> <b>V. Manuel</b> <b>Perez</b>	<b>Environmental Quality: CEQA—</b> <b>Expedited Environmental Review</b>	<b>SEN</b> <b>Environmental Quality</b> <b>Com</b>  <b>Hearing</b> <b>cancelled at</b> <b>request of</b> <b>author</b> <b>Last action:</b> <b>7/1/11</b>	Watch  LCC Watch	<b>Watch—many</b> <b>questions on</b> <b>elements</b> <b>added and</b> <b>projects</b> <b>covered</b>	<p><i>Existing law requires specified state and local government agencies to perform a specified environmental analysis at the time of the adoption of a rule or regulation requiring the installation of pollution control equipment, or a performance standard or treatment requirement, including a rule or regulation that requires the installation of pollution control equipment or a performance standard or treatment requirement pursuant to the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006.</i></p> <p>This bill would instead require that those agencies perform that environmental analysis at the time of the adoption of a rule or regulation requiring the compliance with an energy efficiency standard or compliance mechanism including that rule or regulation adopted pursuant to the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB 32).</p> <p>Amends CEQA to expand the authorized use of a "focused" environmental impact report (EIR) for installation of required pollution control equipment to also include projects that consist of new or modified equipment, implementation of other facility process changes, or both, necessary or used to achieve compliance with a rule or regulation adopted pursuant to the AB 32.</p>
<b>AB 913</b> <b>Feuer</b>	<b>Environment: Certified Green</b> <b>Business Program</b>	<b>Enrolled 9/8</b>	Support  LCC Watch	<b>Support</b>	<p><b>Amended 6/13:</b> This bill would establish a California certified green business program that would provide for the voluntary certification of businesses that adopt environmentally preferable business practices including, but not limited to, increased energy efficiency, reduced greenhouse gas emissions, and reduced waste generation. The bill is not a state-mandated local program. Requires the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) to develop a California Green Business Program. Specifically,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Requires, as part of implementing the source reduction program, DTSC to develop a California Green Business Program that provides <b><u>support and assistance to local government programs that provide for the voluntary certification of small</u></b> businesses that adopt environmentally preferable business practices, including, but not limited to, increased energy efficiency, reduced greenhouse gas emissions, promotion of water conservation, and reduced waste generation.</li> <li>2) Requires the California Green Business Program to do all of the following:             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Assist the network of statewide local government programs in implementing guidelines and structures that establish and promote a level of consistency among green business programs across the state;</li> <li>b) Support, through staffing and contracts, the development and maintenance of a statewide database to register businesses and</li> </ol> </li> </ol>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 8</span>
					<p>track measurable pollution reductions and cost savings;</p> <p>c) Solicit participation of additional local programs and facilitate the startup of new local programs; and,</p> <p>d) Develop technical guidance on pollution prevention measures, conduct industry studies and pilot projects, and provide policy coordination for participating local programs.</p>
<p><b>AB 1103</b> <b>Huffman</b></p>	<p><b>Land Use: Housing Element</b></p>	<p><b>Chaptered Chapter 210, Statutes of 2011</b></p> <p><b>Enrolled and presented to Governor 8/26</b></p>	<p>Support</p> <p>LCC Watch CSAC Pending</p>	<p><b>Support</b></p>	<p><b>Amended 7/12:</b></p> <p>Amended (5/4) to add second units and units on foreclosed property to the types of existing units a local government can count toward meeting housing element obligations if it provides funding to make the units affordable to low-an very low-income households for at least 40 years. Would add that criterion to the list of potential criteria, until January 1, 2017.</p> <p><i>(originally) Would allow “flexibility for local governments to find creative and innovative ways of providing affordable housing and incentives for smart growth development, while ensuring local governments meet their regional housing needs:”</i></p> <p><i>-- Would provide that a city or county may request its council of governments to adjust the city’s or county’s densities for their share of regional housing need for lower income households based on a demonstration by the jurisdiction that the density is consistent with their designation as non- metropolitan, suburban, or metropolitan</i></p> <p><i>--Would specify that the city/county may meet the appropriate RHNA without using land use controls to set aside the appropriate densities.</i></p> <p><i>--Would authorize a local government to county each housing unit that meets the requirements of the jurisdiction’s SCS as 1½ units for purposes of meeting the RHNA assessment.</i></p> <p><i>Sustainable communities: would give local governments’ extra credit for creating new housing that meets the sustainable community model (close to places of work or regional transportation hubs.</i></p>
<p><b>AB 1112</b> <b>Huffman</b></p>	<p><b>Oil Spill Prevention and Administration Fee: State Lands Commission (Oil Spill Preparedness Act)</b></p>	<p><b>Enrolled 9/8</b></p> <p><b>ASM Third Reading; refused passage; reconsideration</b></p>	<p>Support</p> <p>Support SF Bay Area Estuary Partnership</p>	<p><b>Support</b></p>	<p>This bill adjusts the Oil Spill Prevention Administration Fund revenues to current inflation levels and requires the state’s oil spill prevention agency to increase its oversight of vessels conducting oil transfers; requires a financial report on the state’s oil spill prevention program; and require the State Lands Commission to submit safety recommendations to the Legislature.</p> <p><b>Amended 6/23:</b> --Would require the Administrator for oil spill response to develop and <b>implement</b> a screening mechanism and <del>conduct a risk assessment of vessels engaged in bunkering and lightering operations and determine the highest risk transfers a</del></p>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 9</span>
		granted 8/30			<p><b>comprehensive risk-based monitoring program for inspecting the bunkering and lightering operations of vessels at anchor and alongside a dock. The bill also would require that the administrator identify bunkering and lightering operations that pose the highest risk of a pollution incident and coordinate with the United States Coast Guard to routinely monitor and inspect those operations. The bill would require the administrator to establish regulations to provide for the best achievable protection during bunkering and lightering operations in the marine environment.</b> <del>Would specify requirements regarding monitoring and inspections of oil transfer operations.</del></p>
<p><b>AB 1220 Alejo</b></p>	<p><b>Land Use and Planning: Cause of Actions—Time Limitations</b></p>	<p>Enrolled 9/8</p> <p><b>SEN Third Reading</b></p> <p>Last action: 6/16</p>	<p>Oppose</p> <p>LCC Oppose CSAC Oppose APA Oppose</p>	<p><b>Oppose</b></p>	<p><b>Amended 9/2 in SEN—changed to three years</b></p> <p>Revises the statute of limitations and remedies for specified housing-related challenges:  <i><b>Requires a specified notice to be filed with the local government <u>within five years</u> after an action to adopt, amend, or revise a housing element, actions relating to housing development approval, annual limits on housing permits, and the adequacy of a density bonus ordinance.</b></i></p> <p>2) Excludes from the types of challenges that may be brought during this time period any action related to the Housing Accountability Act, the Subdivision Map Act, or the application of a Density Bonus ordinance to a particular project, all of which are project-specific actions.</p> <p>3) Requires a challenging party to first serve the legislative body of the city, county, or city and county with a notice identifying the deficiencies in the housing element, and allows the city or county 60 days to correct the deficiency, following which a dissatisfied party may file an action in court.</p> <p>4) Provides that in any action or proceeding brought to challenge the items listed in #1 no remedy, and no injunction pursuant to those prescribed by a court during a challenge regarding the validity of a general plan, shall abrogate, impair, or otherwise interfere with the full exercise of the rights and protections granted to: a) A completed tentative map application; or, b) A developer under a vested tentative map or a development agreement.</p> <p>5) Provides that if a third party challenges the adequacy of a housing element in court and the court finds that the housing element substantially complies with all of the requirements of housing element law, the element shall be deemed to be in compliance for purposes of state housing grant programs.</p> <p>6) Provide that a housing element from a prior planning period may not be challenged if the city or county has adopted a revised</p>

					<p>housing element for the new planning period.</p> <p>7) States that it is the intent of the Legislature in enacting Section 2 of the bill to modify the court's opinion in <i>Urban Habitat Program v. City of Pleasanton</i> (2008) 164 Cal.App.4th 1561, with respect to the interpretation of Section 65009 of the Government Code.</p>
<p><b>AB 1430</b> Com. on Local Government</p>	<p><b>The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 Omnibus Bill</b></p>	<p><b>Enrolled; sent to Governor 8/31</b></p>	<p>Watch</p>	<p><b>Support</b></p>	<p>Existing law <u>defines various terms</u> for purpose of the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000. This bill would revise some of the definitions within this Local Government Reorganization act; terms being revised include the following: “affected city, affected county, affected district, affected local agency, certificate of termination, change of organization, conducting authority, contiguous, district, independent special district, landowner, and legal representative.” conforming and technical changes</p>
<p><b>SB 184</b> Leno</p>	<p><b>Land Use: Zoning Regulations (Inclusionary Housing Requirements)</b></p>	<p><b>SEN Inactive File by SEN Leno 7/1</b></p>	<p>Support  LCC Support CSAC Support</p>	<p><b>Support</b></p>	<p>Would additionally authorize the legislative body of any city or county to adopt ordinances to establish, as a condition of development, inclusionary housing requirements and would declare the intent of the Legislature in adding this provision.</p>
<p><b>SB 186</b> Kehoe &amp; DeSaulnier</p>	<p><b>The Controller</b></p>	<p><b>ASM Local Government Com: failed passage; reconsidera- tion granted 6/29</b></p>	<p>Watch, pending further information  LCC Watch  CSAC Concerns</p>	<p><b>Oppose</b></p>	<p><b>Expands, until January 1, 2017, the State Controller's authority to perform audits or investigations of counties, cities, special districts, joint powers authorities, and redevelopment agencies, if the State Controller has reason to believe, supported by documentation, that a local government is violating specified financial requirements.</b></p> <p><b>Amended 3/22 and 4/6:</b> If the Controller has reason to believe that any county, city, special district, joint powers authority, or redevelopment agency is not complying with the financial requirements in state law, local charters, or local ordinances, he or she may perform an audit or investigation of the issue. The basis for the decision to conduct an audit or investigation shall be supported by credible documentation, including input from the local agency. The Controller shall prepare a report of the results of the audit or investigation that shall include documentation used as the basis for the decision to perform the audit or investigation. A copy of the report shall be filed with the legislative body of the county, city, special district, joint powers authority, or redevelopment agency, subject to the audit or investigation. Any finding of illegal acts or fraud shall be communicated to the appropriate authorities, including the county grand jury.</p> <p>(2) For purposes of this subdivision, documentation means any handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photographing, photocopying, transmitting by electronic mail or facsimile, and every other means of recording upon any tangible thing any form of communication or representation, including letters, words,</p>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 11</span>
					<p>pictures, sounds, or symbols, or combinations thereof, and any record thereby created, regardless of the manner in which the record has been stored.</p> <p>Amended 3/10/11 (added language in italics and underlined) This bill would authorize the Controller to exercise discretionary authority to perform an audit or investigation of any county, city, special district, <u>joint powers authority</u>, or redevelopment agency, if necessary, to ensure compliance with <u>the financial requirements in</u> state law, grant agreements, local ordinances, <del>and to determine fiscal viability</del>. This bill would require the Controller to prepare a report of the results of the audit or investigation and to file a copy with the local legislative body. This bill would also provide that specified costs incurred by the Controller shall be borne by the county, city, <u>special district, joint powers authority</u>, or redevelopment agency and state that reimbursements collected, upon appropriation to the Controller, be available to offset costs of enforcing this provision.</p>
<b>SB 200</b> <b>Wolk</b>	<b>Sacramento-San Joachin Delta: Bay Delta Conservation Plan</b>  <i>(was: State Water Facilities: Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta—Delta Conveyance Facility)</i>	<b>SEN Natural Resources &amp; Water Set Second Hearing</b> (hearing on 4/26 cancelled at request of author)  <b>Last Action: 4/20</b>	Watch  LCC no position  CSAC no position	<b>Watch</b>	<p><b>Amended 3/24: Existing law imposes requirements on the Department of Water Resources in connection with the preparation of a Bay Delta Conservation Plan (BDCP). The Delta Reform Act of 2009 requires the Delta Stewardship Council to consider the BDCP for inclusion in a specified Delta Plan, and authorizes the incorporation of the BDCP into the Delta Plan if the BDCP meets certain requirements. This bill would require any state agency that is responsible for authorizing or implementing any action in implementation of the BDCP to ensure that the action is consistent with specified requirements prescribed by the bill. The bill would make related legislative findings and declarations.</b></p> <p><b>(Originally)</b> This bill would prohibit the construction of a new Delta conveyance facility, as defined, unless specified conditions are met, including (A) the adoption of an agreement by the Department of Water Resources and the Department of Fish and Game that specifies the stages of construction of the new Delta conveyance facility and (B) the establishment plans and agreements for the construction of specified water facilities and implementation of specified water programs meeting prescribed conditions as part of the state Central Valley Project.</p> <p>The bill would prohibit the transportation of water for the federal Central Valley Project through state project facilities, with specified exceptions, unless certain conditions are met. The bill would require the Department of Water Resources to enter into contracts with specified Delta agencies for purposes of recognizing the right of users to make use of the waters of the Delta and establishing criteria for minimum water quality in the Delta. The bill would require differences between the state and the Delta agencies to be resolved by arbitration if contracts have not been executed by January 1, 2012.</p>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 12</span>
SB 209 Corbett	Common Interest Developments: Electric Vehicle Charging Stations	Chaptered 7/25: Chapter 121 Statutes of 2011	Watch, pending further information  LCC Watch  CSAC no position	Watch	<p><b>Amended 3/22 and 4/5:</b> This bill would provide that any covenant, restriction, or condition contained in any deed, contract, security instrument, or other instrument affecting the transfer or sale of any interest in a common interest development, or any provision of the governing documents of a common interest development, that effectively prohibits or restricts the installation or use of an electrical vehicle charging station is void and unenforceable.</p> <p>The bill would authorize an association, as defined, to impose reasonable restrictions on those stations, as specified, and would impose requirements with respect to an association's approval process for those stations. If the station is to be placed in a common interest area or an exclusive use common area, the homeowner would be responsible for various costs associated with maintaining and repairing the station, as well as costs for damage to common areas and adjacent units resulting from installation and maintenance of the station.</p> <p><b><i>Amended 6/6: The bill would also require the homeowner to maintain a homeowner's insurance policy impose other responsibilities on the homeowner, including maintaining an umbrella liability coverage policy of \$1,000,000 that names the common interest development as an additional insured. An association that violates the bill's provisions would be liable for damages and a civil penalty, as specified.</i></b></p> <p><b>(originally)</b> This bill would provide that any covenant, restriction, or condition contained in any deed, contract, security instrument, or other instrument affecting the transfer or sale of any interest in a common interest development, or any provision of the governing documents of a common interest development, that effectively prohibits or restricts the installation or use of an electrical vehicle charging station is void and unenforceable. The bill would authorize an association, as defined, to impose reasonable restrictions on those stations, as specified, and would impose requirements with respect to an association's approval process for those stations. An association that violates the bill's provisions would be liable for damages and a civil penalty, as specified.</p>
SB 214 Wolk	Infrastructure Financing Districts: Voter Approval— Repeal	Ordered to Inactive File by ASM Ma 9/9  ASM Third Reading Last Action: 7/1	Watch  LCC Watch  CSAC Support	Watch	<p><b>Eliminates the requirement of voter approval to create an infrastructure financing district (IFD) and revises the provisions governing the public facilities that may be financed by an IFD.</b></p> <p>Amended 4/25 to include provisions below (bold faced and underlined)</p> <p>Existing law authorizes a legislative body, as defined, to create an infrastructure financing district, adopt an infrastructure financing plan, and issue bonds, for which only the district is liable, to finance specified public facilities, upon voter approval.</p> <p>This bill would eliminate the requirement of voter approval and authorize the legislative body to create the district, adopt the plan, and issue the bonds by resolutions. <b><u>The bill would authorize a district to finance specified actions and projects and prohibit</u></b></p>

					<p><b><u>the district from providing financial assistance to a vehicle dealer or big box retailer.</u></b></p> <p>(2) Existing law also requires that an infrastructure financing plan created by a legislative body to include a date on which the district will cease to exist, which shall not be more than 30 years from the date on which the ordinance forming the district is adopted. This bill instead would specify that the date on which the district would cease to exist would not be more than <u>40</u> years from the date on which the legislative body adopted the resolution adopting the infrastructure financing plan. <b><u>The bill would also impose additional reporting requirements after the adoption of an infrastructure financing plan.</u></b></p>
<p><b>SB 286 Wright</b></p>	<p><b>Redevelopment</b></p>	<p><b>Re-referred to SEN Governance and Finance</b></p> <p><b>Last Action: 6/10</b></p>	<p>Support</p> <p>LCC Support CSAC Concerns</p>	<p><b>Oppose</b></p>	<p>Comprehensive Redevelopment Reform: Would impose tough new reforms to increase accountability and limit the size and cope of redevelopment in California to improve the operations, transparency and accountability of redevelopment agencies statewide.</p> <p><i>Specifically,</i> would tighten the definition of blight; prohibit agencies from collecting the school share of local property tax or tax increment in new project areas starting in 2010; limit the percentage of total land area of a jurisdiction which may be included in redevelopment project areas; and prohibit use of tax increment for specific purposes such as golf courses and race tracks. Would strengthen agency reporting and accountability requirements; and focus redevelopment activities on priorities such as job creation, cleaning up contaminated property, basic infrastructure needs, and affordable housing.</p>
<p><b>SB 301 DeSaulnier</b></p>	<p><b>New title: Enterprize Zones: Applications</b></p> <p><b>Housing and Community Development: Redevelopment— Seismic Retrofits</b></p>	<p><b>ASM Re-referred to Com on Jobs, Economic Development and Economy</b></p> <p><b>Last Action: 6/20</b></p>	<p>Watch, pending further information</p> <p>LCC Watch</p>	<p><b>Watch</b></p>	<p><b>Amended May 31<sup>st</sup>: changed topic</b></p> <p>This bill updates the requirements dealing with seismic safety retrofit rehabilitation or alterations to refer to building codes currently in use in California.</p> <p>-- Would revise building code references regarding seismic retrofits relating to unreinforced masonry building, buildings that qualify as “historical property.” and others in relation to a redevelopment agency projects’ building rehabilitation or alteration in construction. This bill does not change building standards requirements; it only changes how building codes are referenced in statute.</p>
<p><b>SB 310 Hancock</b></p>	<p><b>Local Development</b></p>	<p><b>Enrolled 9/9</b></p>	<p>Support</p> <p>MTC Support</p>	<p><b>Support</b></p>	<p><b>Amended 8/29/11:</b></p> <p><b>Amended 6/20: This bill would eliminate the requirement of voter approval and authorize the legislative body to create the Infrastructure Financing district, adopt the plan, and issue the bonds by resolutions.</b></p>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 14</span>
		<p>ASM Third Reading, read and amended 8/29; ordered to Third Reading 9/1</p>			<p><b>This bill would, in addition, authorize a district to reimburse a developer that meets specified requirements for permit expenses or expenses related to the construction of affordable housing units. Would also require that an infrastructure financing plan also include a plan to finance any potential costs for reimbursing a developer that meets specified requirements for permit expenses.</b></p> <p><i>(2) The Transit Village Development Planning Act of 1994 authorizes a city or county to create a transit village plan for a transit village development district that addresses specified characteristics. In order to increase transit ridership and to reduce vehicle traffic on the highways, the act encourages local, regional, and state plans to direct new development close to transit stations and provide financial incentives to implement these plans.</i></p> <p><b>This bill would establish the Transit Priority Project Program, and authorize a city or county to participate in the program by adopting an ordinance indicating its intent to participate in the program. Would require a city or county that elects to participate in the program to amend its general plan, and community plan, if the city or county has one, and if it is necessary, to authorize participating developers to build at an increased height of a minimum of 3 stories. This bill would exempt from its provisions a city or county that has adopted specified language in its charter, or by ordinance or resolution.</b></p>
<p><b>SB 419 Simitian</b></p>	<p><b>Solid Waste: Home-generated Sharps</b></p>	<p>ASM  8/15 Inactive 9/1 Notice to remove from Inactive file and ordered to Third Read</p>	<p>Support  LCC Watch CSAC Support</p>	<p><b>Support</b></p>	<p>This bill requires pharmaceutical manufacturers to provide their annual reports on disposal of sharps waste to the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (DRRR) electronically and make them readily available on their website. Addresses implementation challenges learned after first round of reports required pursuant to SB 486.</p>
<p><b>SB 515 Corbett</b></p>	<p><b>Recycling: Product Stewardship —Batteries—Universal Waste Management Facilities</b></p>	<p>SEN Appropriations Com  Held in Com-Under submission Last Action: 5/26</p>	<p>Support  Support LCC  Support CSAC</p>	<p><b>Support</b></p>	<p>SB 515 will require battery manufacturers to design, fund and operate a stewardship program to properly manage household batteries in order to sell their products in California. SB 515 will also require battery manufacturers to meet collection goals each year (25% by 1/1/15; 45% by 1/1/17; by 1/1/18 meaningful improvement annually thereafter in collection rate). Require report data to Dept. of Resources Recycling and Recovery DRRR who will oversee the implementation of each manufacturer's stewardship plan. This bill is similar to the author's SB 1100 of 2010. <i>Sponsor: Stopwaste.org (Alameda County)</i></p>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 15</span>
SB 555 Hancock	Local Government: Community Facilities District	Enrolled and presented to Governor 8/30	Support	Support	<p><b>Adds the acquisition, installation, and improvement of energy efficiency, water conservation, and renewable energy improvements that are affixed to the types of facilities that a community facilities district (CFD) may finance, or refinance, regardless of whether the buildings or property are privately or publicly owned.</b> Would authorize Mello-Roos community facilities districts to finance and refinance the acquisition, installation, and improvement of energy efficiency, water conservation, and renewable energy improvements to or on real property and in buildings. Would include in the provisions that a bond secured by a special tax on property levied by specified community facilities districts be included in what is defined as PACE bonds.</p>
SB 582 Yee  (was Emmerson)	Commute Benefit Policies	Enrolled 7/19  8/1- Gov Vetoes  8/1: In SEN Consideration of Gov. veto pending	Support  LCC Watch	Support	<p>Amended 7/7: This bill would authorize, until January 1, 2017, a metropolitan planning organization and an air district to adopt jointly a regional commute benefit ordinance that requires certain employers located within their common area of jurisdiction to offer their employees specified commute benefits with the goal of reducing single-occupant vehicle trips. <i>Sponsored by MTC and BAAQMD</i></p>
SB 653 Steinberg	Local Taxation: Counties— School Districts: <u>Community College Districts: County Offices of Education</u> —General Authorization	SEN Ordered to Inactive File by Steinberg 8/22	Watch  Support CSAC  LCC Watch	Watch	<p><i>The California Constitution prohibits the Legislature from imposing taxes for local purposes, but allows the Legislature to authorize local governments to impose them.</i></p> <p><b>Amended 6/6:</b> This bill would authorize the governing board of any county or city and county <del>and any school district</del> <b>community college district and any county office of education</b>, subject to specified constitutional and voter approval requirements, to levy, increase, or extend a local personal income tax, transactions and use tax, vehicle license fee, and excise tax, including, but not limited to, an alcoholic beverages tax, a cigarette and tobacco products tax, a sweetened beverage tax, and an oil severance tax, as provided.</p> <p>This bill would require the State Board of Equalization, the Franchise Tax Board, or the Department of Motor Vehicles to perform various functions incident to the administration and operation of a local tax if the county or city and county <i>or the school district</i> contracts with the state agency to perform those functions. This bill for each fiscal year would also require a county or city and county and a school district to reimburse the state for any losses incurred by the state General Fund due to any deductions allowed under the Personal Income Tax Law and the Corporation Tax Law for any local taxes levied, increased, or extended pursuant to this authorization by that county or city and county or school</p>

Bill Author	Subject	Status	Staff Recommendation	L&GO Position	Legislation Summary <span style="float: right;">Page 16</span>
					district, as specified. This bill would, for each fiscal year, require the Franchise Tax Board, with the assistance of the State Board of Equalization, to estimate the losses incurred by the state General Fund attributable to each county or city and county or a school district due to any local taxes levied, increased, or extended by that county or city and county or school district.
<b>SB 790</b> Leno	<b>Electricity: Community Choice Aggregation</b>	<b>Enrolled 9/9</b>  ASM Read Second Time; ordered to Third Reading 8/31	Support  LCC support CSAC support	<b>Support</b>	<b>This bill will revise and expand the definition of Community Choice Aggregation (CCA), require the PUC to initiate a Code of Conduct rulemaking, and allow CCAs to receive Public Purpose funds to administer energy efficiency programs.</b> Strengthens existing law by clarifying, amending and adding key provisions that enable Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) to function as originally intended, foster fair market competition, and allow jurisdictions to pursue CCA without undue barriers and excessive burdens.
<b>SB 878</b> DeSaulnier	<b>Regional Planning: Bay Area</b>	<b>SEN Re-referred to Housing and Transportation Com</b>  <b>(last action 6/9/11)</b>	<b>Watch</b>	<b>Watch</b>	Amended June 9: Would require the Joint Policy Committee to submit a report to the Legislature by January 31, 2013, on, among other things, methods and strategies for developing and implementing a multiagency set of policies and guidelines relative to the Bay Area region's sustainable communities strategy, including recommendations on organizational reforms for the regional agencies. The bill would require preparation of a work plan for a regional economic development strategy to be submitted to the Legislature on that date. The bill would also require the member agencies to report on public outreach efforts that they individually or jointly perform. The bill would require public meetings in each of the region's 9 counties and creation of advisory committees, as specified. By imposing new duties on local agencies, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.
<b>SCA 4</b> DeSaulnier and Wolk	<b>Initiative Measures: Funding Source</b>	<b>SEN Third Reading</b>  <b>Last Action: 5/27</b>	Support  CSAC Support	<b>Support</b>	This measure would prohibit an initiative measure that would result in a net increase in state or local government costs, (other than costs attributable to the issuance, sale, or repayment of bonds), from being submitted to the electors or having any effect unless and until the Legislative Analyst and the Director of Finance jointly determine that the initiative measure provides for additional revenues in an amount that meets or exceeds the net increase in costs.
<b>Federal Legislation</b>					
<b>H.R. 1825</b> Blumenauer	<b>Commuter Relief Act</b>	<b>House Com. on Ways and Means</b>	Support	<b>Support</b>	<b>Revises the Transportation Fringe Benefit Program to make permanent the equal treatment of parking, vanpooling and public transit benefits, eligible for exclusion from taxation up to \$200/month (from \$230/month in 2011). Raises the bicycle reimbursement benefit from \$20 to \$40 per month and allows the bicycle benefit to be used in conjunction with other benefits.</b>