

BayArea Plan

Defining Alternative Scenarios

MTC Planning Committee and ABAG Administrative Committee
May 13, 2011

Policy Issues

1. Given what we learned from Current Regional Plans and the Initial Vision Scenario:
 - a. Have we pushed the land use far enough, and have we designed a land use pattern sufficient to reach our targets?
 - b. Can we afford the transportation improvements needed to support the land use pattern?
 - c. What difference could employment distribution make?
 - d. What more do we need in order to reach our targets?
2. Can we develop distinct alternative scenarios that help us evaluate these questions?

Input To Date

(from advisory groups and public workshops)

- Create distinct scenarios, including a historical land use “trend” option for comparative purposes.
- Focus more growth in the urbanized areas of the region to preserve agricultural lands and open space.
- Consider refocusing development along transportation corridors (not just transit lines).
- Increase growth in Priority Development Areas (PDAs) but realize that they have limits on their carrying capacities.
- Create a strategy that places importance on supporting growth in rural/suburban communities, but recognize that the growth will not be at the same density and intensity as growth in the more urbanized areas of the region.
- Emphasize the importance of the “fix-it first” policy (i.e., maintain existing system)
- Consider policy initiatives such as Transportation Demand Management and road and parking pricing

BayArea
Plan



3

Alternative Scenarios Framework

- Define and evaluate a small number of alternative scenarios that are deemed financially feasible and achievable
- Each scenario will be distinctly different in terms of growth patterns, transportation investments, or supportive policies
- Growth patterns entail distribution and intensity of jobs, population and housing in small geographic areas within jurisdictions
- Land uses will be distributed to reduce trip lengths and will be located in proximity to transit network
- Each scenario will aim to achieve adopted performance targets
- Project performance assessment will inform transportation investments for scenarios

BayArea
Plan



4

Land Use, Transportation & Policy Variables

| Land Use | Transportation | Policy Initiatives |
|---|---|---|
| More Concentrated Growth* Shifting jobs/housing distributions in the Current Regional Plans, and choosing to distribute growth among Priority Development Areas (PDAs) in a manner that optimizes GHG reductions (this may mean that some PDAs are more appropriate growth areas than others) | Transportation 2035 Investment Strategy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 80% of funding to "Fix-It First" Policy Maintain existing transit service levels with Resolution 3434 transit expansion Regional Express Lane Network, Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI), and various roadway improvements around region | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transportation Demand Management (telework, commuter benefits, ridesharing services, etc.) Eco-Driving (driver education on how to drive to save fuels and reduce emissions) Electric Vehicles (beyond what's assumed by Air Resources Board) Parking Pricing (e.g., higher parking during peak hours, charge for employer parking) Other Pricing (e.g., toll lanes, vehicle fees) |
| Most Concentrated Growth Shifting jobs/housing around the Bay, and concentrating job/housing growth around existing centers | Intensive Transit Services <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allocate more funding to places that are taking on growth, which involves redistributing maintenance funds to core areas with "Fix-It First" funding as an incentive Extensive transit funding for core capacity improvements, such as to BART, Caltrain, Muni and AC Transit bus rapid transit and local transit Smaller backbone Regional Express Lane Network, FPI, and various roadway improvements | |
| Dispersed Growth Shifting more jobs towards housing growth in outer areas of the region | Transit Expansion & Roadway Improvements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> More highway improvements and long-haul transit expansion, increased carpool/vanpools and shuttle services, and various roadway improvements | |



*This scenario is the Initial Vision Scenario with consideration for job location and intensity, financial constraints and local input

5

Example Scenarios

(by "mixing & matching" land use, transportation & policy variables)

| | Land Use | Transportation | Policy Initiatives |
|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | Push land uses harder to achieve the 15% greenhouse gas emission reduction target | Transportation 2035 Investment Strategy | No Change to Existing Policies |
| 2 | Reduce intensity of land uses | Transportation 2035 Investment Strategy | No Change to Existing Policies |
| 3 | Optimize the Initial Vision Scenario | Intensive Transit Services | No Change to Existing Policies |
| 4 | Support jobs-housing balance & fit in outer areas | Transit Expansion & Roadway Improvements | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transportation Demand Management Eco-Driving |



6

Alternative Scenario Timeline

| | |
|---|-----------------|
| Develop alternative scenarios through an iterative process | Now – June 2011 |
| Present alternative scenarios for initial review in June and then approval by MTC and ABAG in June/July | June/July 2011 |
| Start scenario analysis | July 2011 |
| Release scenario results | October 2011 |
| Seek public review and comment on scenario results | October 2011 |
| Review preferred scenario with MTC and ABAG | January 2012 |
| Approval of preferred scenario by MTC and ABAG | February 2012 |