

Inter-Regional Partnership Meeting

**City of Tracy
Police Department
Emergency Operations Center
1000 Civic Center Drive
Tracy, CA 95376**

October 15, 2003

MINUTES

I. Call Meeting to Order/Introductions:

Dan Bilbrey, Chair called the meeting to order at 1:18 p.m.

Committee Members Present:

**Denny Jackman, Council Member, City of Modesto
Supervisor Jeff Grover, Stanislaus County
Brian Swisher, Mayor, City of Brentwood
Dan Bilbrey, Mayor, City of Tracy
Gloryanna Rhodes, Mayor, City of Lathrop
Lorraine Dietrich, Council Member, City of Livermore
Scott Haggerty, Supervisor, Alameda County**

IRP Staff Present:

Christy Riviere, ABAG

Gerald Rayraft, ABAG

Mike Swearingen, SJCOG

II. Approval of August 20, 2003 minutes

It was moved/seconded (Jackman/Swisher) to approve the Minutes of August 20, 2003. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

III. IRP Pilot Project

A. Review and approve the proposed work plan for the IRP

Ms. Christy Riviere briefed on the proposed work plan. Ms. Riviere stated that at the last IRP meeting the members talked extensively on whether or not the IRP would continue. Therefore at August IRP Meeting staff was asked to devise a work plan that would outline IRP activities for the 2004/2005 fiscal year. Ms. Riviere stated that staff

has completed the work plan and also included some discussion points. An outline was created for the fiscal year which outlines some of the topics that might be of interest to the members. She stated that we do have until the end of this fiscal year to finalize this plan.

Supervisor Haggerty asked why are we not approaching the Air Districts and ask them for help with the funding for IRP. Mr. Raycraft stated that a proposal for transportation dollars would be better received with the volunteered elected making the proposal. Mr. Haggerty then stated that he would be happy to do it for Alameda County, and Mr. Jeff Grover said he would do the same.

Motion was made (Haggerty/Jackman) and seconded to approve the work program as accepted.

B. Authorize distribution of the RFP for Interim Evaluation of IRP Pilot Project

Ms. Riviere stated that we did get approved for the four year extension, therefore the program does not end until July of 2008, but there will be no funds available in July 2008. She stated due to the financial reasons the IRP members have decided to honor the original July 2004 deadline and therefore move forward with the Pilot Project evaluation.

Ms. Riviere said that we will have nine months to complete this RFP. She stated that the RFP is attached in the staff report. There are two essential components to this RFP. The first piece is ongoing monitoring of the RFP Pilot Zones. The consultants will be asked to work with the IRP staff to develop a methodology, recommend data to track, and design data analysis techniques for the IRP staff to use in monitoring the Zones.

Ms. Riviere stated that the second piece would be Project Evaluation. This component is divided into two different parts. In the first part we would ask the consultants to do a Project Analysis. This analysis is intended to be a comprehensive evaluation of the IRP Pilot Project as a tool to resolve jobs/housing imbalance. The second piece will be Process Evaluation. This analysis will focus on the program design and processes that the IRP used in the Pilot Project, the criteria used in selecting the Zones and the Zone selection process.

Ms. Riviere informed the committee that if the members decide to approve the RFP today we will be releasing it next week, and at the next meeting we would then have the members select a consultant and move forward from there.

Ms. Rhodes asked if the consultant would incorporate any of the history of our own comments.

Ms. Riviere stated that the consultants have been asked to attend at least three meetings, and we can ask them to talk with individual members one on one.

Motion was made (Haggerty/Grover) and seconded to authorize distribution of the RFP.

IV. Inland Port Study

- A. SJCOG has finalized the inland Port Study which compliments the SF Bay Area Regional Goods Movement Study. The Inland Port Study will be presented to the IRP.

Mr. Ridder briefed the committee members about the background of the Inland Port Feasibility Study. He stated that while significant public attention has been given to the interconnection between Bay Area job markets and valley housing markets, the inter-regional movement of the goods raises similar issues for transportation, land use, and economic development planning. He informed the committee that the Inland Port Feasibility Study was sponsored by the San Joaquin Council of Governments through a Caltrans grant to promote inter-regional partnership between the Port of Oakland, the Port of Stockton, public transportation and land use programming agencies, the private freight industry, and economic development interest. He also stated that the study investigated opportunities to locate seaport activities in the San Joaquin Valley to offset land use constraints on the growth of the Port of Oakland, to develop jobs in the San Joaquin Valley, and to decrease the impacts of truck traffic on I-580 over the Altamont Pass. Mr. Ridder then informed the members that the study team led by the Tioga Group, explored the economic and environmental sustainability of moving goods between the Central Valley and Port of Oakland via a railroad container shuttle. Mr. Dan Smith of the Tioga Group then presented the Inland Port Study to the IRP.

V. Legislative Updates

- A. Update on Senate Bill.639 (Torlakson), the IRP Pilot Project extension.

Ms. Riviere stated that senate Bill 639 as we know was approved. It was signed by Governor Gray Davis in September, thereby extending the IRP Pilot Project to July 2008. The Pilot Project will be moving forward, and we will continue to search for incentives.

- B. Update on Assembly Bill 723 (Mathews), the Infrastructure Financing Districts Legislation

Mr. Swearingen stated that there is not a lot to update since the last meeting. He informed members that staff will meet with Assemblywoman Barbara Mathews to finalize amendments. Also Assemblywoman Mathews's staff will introduce amendments to legislative Council to incorporate changes to the bill.

VI. Public Comment

None

VI. Adjourn

There being no further business to discuss motion was made (Haggerty/Rhodes) and seconded to adjourn meeting.